# Generalized Mazur maps in a noncommutative setting

J. Alejandro Chávez-Domínguez

Department of Mathematics The University of Oklahoma



Supported by NSF grant DMS-1900985

Workshop on Banach spaces and Banach lattices II ICMAT, Madrid

May 10, 2022

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

Generalized Mazur maps

### Unit spheres/balls

#### Notation

## For a Banach space *X*, we will denote its unit sphere by $\mathscr{S}(X) = \{x \in X : ||x|| = 1\},\$

#### Disclaimer

I would normally write  $S_X$ , but we will be considering spaces like the Schatten *p*-class  $S_p$  and writing  $S_{S_p}$  is just awful.

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

Generalized Mazur maps

### Unit spheres/balls

#### Notation

For a Banach space *X*, we will denote its unit sphere by

$$\mathscr{S}(X) = \{ x \in X : ||x|| = 1 \},$$

and its unit ball by

$$\mathscr{B}(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \le 1\}$$

#### Disclaimer

I would normally write  $S_X$ , but we will be considering spaces like the Schatten *p*-class  $S_p$  and writing  $S_{S_p}$  is just awful.

### Theorem (Kadets 1966)

Any two separable infinite-dimensional Banach spaces are homeomorphic.

### Theorem (Kadets 1966)

Any two separable infinite-dimensional Banach spaces are homeomorphic.

Theorem (Johnson–Lindenstrauss–Schechtman 1996) For 1 , if*X* $is uniformly homeomorphic to <math>\ell_p$ , then *X* is isomorphic to  $\ell_p$ .

### Theorem (Kadets 1966)

Any two separable infinite-dimensional Banach spaces are homeomorphic.

Theorem (Johnson–Lindenstrauss–Schechtman 1996) For 1 , if*X* $is uniformly homeomorphic to <math>\ell_p$ , then *X* is isomorphic to  $\ell_p$ .

Theorem (Mazur 1929)

For  $1 \le p, q < \infty$ ,  $\mathscr{S}(L_p[0, 1])$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(L_q[0, 1])$ .

### The classical Mazur map

Say  $f \ge 0$  in  $L_p(\mu)$ . Easiest way to transform it into a function in  $L_q(\mu)$ ?

### The classical Mazur map

Say  $f \ge 0$  in  $L_p(\mu)$ . Easiest way to transform it into a function in  $L_q(\mu)$ ?  $f \mapsto f^{\frac{p}{q}}$ 

### The classical Mazur map

Say  $f \ge 0$  in  $L_p(\mu)$ . Easiest way to transform it into a function in  $L_q(\mu)$ ?  $f \mapsto f^{\frac{p}{q}}$ 

#### Definition

For  $1 \le p, q < \infty$  and a measure  $\mu$ , the Mazur map  $M_{p,q}: L_p(\mu) \to L_q(\mu)$  is given by

$$f \mapsto f|f|^{\frac{p-q}{q}} = \operatorname{sign}(f)|f|^{\frac{p}{q}}$$

#### Theorem (Mazur 1929)

For  $1 \le p, q < \infty$  and a measure  $\mu$ , the map  $M_{p,q}$  is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(L_p(\mu))$  and  $\mathscr{S}(L_q(\mu))$ .

### Noncommutative Mazur maps

#### Definition

For  $1 \le p, q < \infty$  and a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Mazur map  $M_{p,q}: L_p(\mathcal{M}) \to L_q(\mathcal{M})$  is given by

$$f \mapsto f|f|^{\frac{p-q}{q}}$$

#### Theorem (Ricard 2015)

For  $1 \le p, q < \infty$  and a von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , the Mazur map  $M_{p,q}$  is  $\min\{\frac{p}{q}, 1\}$ -Hölder on the unit ball of  $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ .

In particular, it is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(L_p(\mathcal{M}))$  and  $\mathscr{S}(L_q(\mathcal{M}))$ .

- To study noncommutative versions of other generalized Mazur maps that have been useful in Banach space theory.
- Use them to get new examples of uniform homeomorphisms between spheres.

### Theorem (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let *E* be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)$  if and only if *E* does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### Theorem (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let *E* be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)$  if and only if *E* does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### The proof

Is based on two generalizations of the Mazur map:

- A *p*-convexification one.
- An entropy-based one.

### The first generalized Mazur map: *p*-convexification

Note that

$$\ell_p = \left\{ (x_j) : (|x_j|^p) \in \ell_1 \right\} \qquad \|(x_j)\|_{\ell_p} = \|(|x_j|^p)\|_{\ell_1}^{1/p}$$

### The first generalized Mazur map: *p*-convexification

#### Note that

$$\ell_p = \{(x_j) : (|x_j|^p) \in \ell_1\} \qquad ||(x_j)||_{\ell_p} = ||(|x_j|^p)||_{\ell_1}^{1/p}$$

Similarly, for a 1-unconditional sequence space E we can define its p-convexification

$$E^{(p)} = \{ (x_j) : (|x_j|^p) \in E \} \qquad ||(x_j)||_{E^{(p)}} = ||(|x_j|^p)||_E^{1/p}$$

### The first generalized Mazur map: *p*-convexification

#### Note that

$$\ell_p = \{(x_j) : (|x_j|^p) \in \ell_1\} \qquad ||(x_j)||_{\ell_p} = ||(|x_j|^p)||_{\ell_1}^{1/p}$$

Similarly, for a 1-unconditional sequence space E we can define its p-convexification

$$E^{(p)} = \{ (x_j) : (|x_j|^p) \in E \} \qquad ||(x_j)||_{E^{(p)}} = ||(|x_j|^p)||_E^{1/p}$$

When *E* is 1-unconditional, the map  $G_p : (x_j) \mapsto (\operatorname{sign}(x_j)|x_j|^p)$ maps  $\mathscr{S}(E^{(p)})$  to  $\mathscr{S}(E)$ 

### Proposition (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let 1 and let*E*be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. The generalized Mazur map

 $G_p:(x_j)\mapsto \left(\operatorname{sign}(x_j)|x_j|^p\right)$ 

is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(E^{(p)})$  and  $\mathscr{S}(E)$ . Moreover, the moduli of uniform continuity of  $G_p$  and  $G_p^{-1}$  depend only on p.

#### Well-known

Entropy of a probability distribution  $(x_j)$ :  $H = -\sum_j x_j \log(x_j)$ 

#### Definition

If  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  is a 1-unconditional strictly convex norm and and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a probability vector, we define  $F_X(x)$  to be the  $y \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+$  minimizing the quantity  $-\sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$ , that is  $F_X(x) = \underset{y \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+}{\operatorname{arg min}} - \sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$ 

#### Well-known

Entropy of a probability distribution  $(x_j)$ :  $H = -\sum_j x_j \log(x_j)$ 

#### Definition

If  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  is a 1-unconditional strictly convex norm and and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a probability vector, we define  $F_X(x)$  to be the  $y \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+$  minimizing the quantity  $-\sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$ , that is  $F_Y(x) = \arg \min -\sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$ 

$$F_X(x) = \underset{y \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} - \sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$$

#### Important note for later

Closely related to the relative entropy or Kullback–Leibler divergence  $D(x||y) = \sum_j x_j (\log(x_j) - \log(y_j))$ 

Let's look at the special case where  $X = \ell_p^n$ . Fix a probability vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider  $y \ge 0$  with  $||y||_{\ell_p} = 1$ . We want to

minimize 
$$-\sum_{j} x_j \log(y_j)$$
 subject to  $\sum_{j} y_j^p = 1$ 

Let's look at the special case where  $X = \ell_p^n$ . Fix a probability vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider  $y \ge 0$  with  $||y||_{\ell_p} = 1$ . We want to

minimize 
$$-\sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$$
 subject to  $\sum_j y_j^p = 1$ 

by Lagrange multipliers we need to have

$$-rac{x_j}{y_j} = \lambda p y_j^{p-1}$$
 i.e.  $-x_j = \lambda p y_j^p$ 

11/35

Let's look at the special case where  $X = \ell_p^n$ . Fix a probability vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider  $y \ge 0$  with  $||y||_{\ell_p} = 1$ . We want to

minimize 
$$-\sum_j x_j \log(y_j)$$
 subject to  $\sum_j y_j^p = 1$ 

by Lagrange multipliers we need to have

$$-rac{x_j}{y_j} = \lambda p y_j^{p-1}$$
 i.e.  $-x_j = \lambda p y_j^p$ 

Adding up we get  $\lambda = -1/p$  and thus  $y_j = x_j^{1/p}$  meaning

$$F_{\ell_p^n}\bigl((x_j)\bigr) = (x_j^{1/p})$$

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

11/35

### Proposition (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  be an uniformly convex and uniformly smooth space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $F_X : \mathscr{S}(\ell_1^n) \to \mathscr{S}(X)$  is a uniform homeomorphism; moreover

- The modulus of continuity of *F<sub>X</sub>* depends only on the modulus of uniform convexity of *X*
- The modulus of continuity of  $F_X^{-1}$  depends only on the modulus of uniform smoothness of *X*.

### Proposition (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let  $X = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$  be an uniformly convex and uniformly smooth space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $F_X : \mathscr{S}(\ell_1^n) \to \mathscr{S}(X)$  is a uniform homeomorphism; moreover

- The modulus of continuity of *F<sub>X</sub>* depends only on the modulus of uniform convexity of *X*
- The modulus of continuity of  $F_X^{-1}$  depends only on the modulus of uniform smoothness of *X*.

From this finite-dimensional result one can "glue together" the pieces and get a uniform homeomorphism

$$F_X:\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)\to\mathscr{S}(X)$$

when *X* is infinite-dimensional, uniformly convex and uniformly smooth, and has a 1-unconditional basis.

### The question, vague form

#### Question

Is there a noncommutative version of the Odell–Schlumprecht theorem?

### Theorem (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let *E* be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)$  if and only if *E* does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

13/35

### The question, vague form

#### Question

Is there a noncommutative version of the Odell–Schlumprecht theorem?

### Theorem (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let *E* be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)$  if and only if *E* does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

13/35

### The question, vague form

#### Question

Is there a noncommutative version of the Odell–Schlumprecht theorem?

### Theorem (Odell–Schlumprecht 1994)

Let *E* be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis. Then  $\mathscr{S}(E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(\ell_1)$  if and only if *E* does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### Sub-question

Specifically, what about noncommutative versions of their generalized Mazur maps?

### Making the "noncommutative" precise

From  $\ell_p$ , we get its "noncommutative" version

$$S_p = \left\{T : \ell_2 \to \ell_2 \text{ compact, } \left(s_j(T)\right) \in \ell_p
ight\}$$

where  $(s_j(T))$  is the sequence of singular values of T (eigenvalues of  $|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}$ ), with norm  $||T||_{S_p} = ||(s_j(T))||_{\ell_p}$ 

### Making the "noncommutative" precise

From  $\ell_p$ , we get its "noncommutative" version

$$S_p = \left\{T: \ell_2 
ightarrow \ell_2 ext{ compact, } \left(s_j(T)
ight) \in \ell_p
ight\}$$

where  $(s_j(T))$  is the sequence of singular values of T (eigenvalues of  $|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}$ ), with norm  $||T||_{S_p} = ||(s_j(T))||_{\ell_p}$ 

Similarly, given a sequence space E, define

$$S_E = \{T : \ell_2 \to \ell_2 \text{ compact, } (s_j(T)) \in E\}$$

with norm  $||T||_{S_E} = ||(s_j(T))||_E$ .

### Making the "noncommutative" precise

From  $\ell_p$ , we get its "noncommutative" version

$$S_p = \left\{T: \ell_2 
ightarrow \ell_2 ext{ compact, } \left(s_j(T)
ight) \in \ell_p
ight\}$$

where  $(s_j(T))$  is the sequence of singular values of T (eigenvalues of  $|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}$ ), with norm  $||T||_{S_p} = ||(s_j(T))||_{\ell_p}$ 

Similarly, given a sequence space E, define

$$S_E = \left\{ T : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2 \text{ compact, } \left( s_j(T) \right) \in E \right\}$$

with norm  $||T||_{S_E} = ||(s_j(T))||_E$ .

#### Note

For everything to work well, we ask for *E* to be 1-symmetric: for any permutation  $\pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ ,  $||(a_{\pi(n)})||_E = ||(a_n)||_E$ 

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

### Unitarily invariant ideals (of compact operators)

When *E* is 1-symmetric,  $S_E$  satisfies:

- (Ideal property) When  $T \in S_E$ ,  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2)$ ,  $ATB \in S_E$ .
- (Unitary invariance) When *T* ∈ *S<sub>E</sub>*, *U*, *V* ∈ B(ℓ<sub>2</sub>) unitaries, *UTV* ∈ *S<sub>E</sub>* and

$$\|UTV\|_{S_E} = \|T\|_{S_E}$$

15/35

### The conjecture

### Conjecture (CD)

Let  $S_E$  be a unitarily invariant ideal. Then  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(S_1)$  if and only if  $S_E$  does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

### Conjecture (CD)

Let  $S_E$  be a unitarily invariant ideal. Then  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(S_1)$  if and only if  $S_E$  does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### Towards a proof

### Conjecture (CD)

Let  $S_E$  be a unitarily invariant ideal. Then  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(S_1)$  if and only if  $S_E$  does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### Towards a proof

 [⇒]: The Odell–Schlumprecht argument works without changes (based on a result of Enflo).

### Conjecture (CD)

Let  $S_E$  be a unitarily invariant ideal. Then  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(S_1)$  if and only if  $S_E$  does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ 's uniformly.

#### Towards a proof

- [⇒]: The Odell–Schlumprecht argument works without changes (based on a result of Enflo).
- [ $\Leftarrow$ ]: Let us try to use generalized Mazur maps:
  - p-Convexification.
  - Entropy-based.

### Unitarily invariant matrix norms

### Finite-dimensional simplification

To understand a Mazur map defined on  $S_E$ , by density it suffices to understand it on  $S_E^n$ .

### Definition

A norm  $\|\|\cdot\|\|$  on  $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called *unitarily invariant* if for any unitaries  $U, V \in M_n$  and  $A \in M_n$  we have  $\|\|UAV\|\| = \|\|A\|\|$ 

# Unitarily invariant matrix norms

# Finite-dimensional simplification

To understand a Mazur map defined on  $S_E$ , by density it suffices to understand it on  $S_E^n$ .

## Definition

A norm  $\|\|\cdot\|\|$  on  $M_n = M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called *unitarily invariant* if for any unitaries  $U, V \in M_n$  and  $A \in M_n$  we have  $\|\|UAV\|\| = \|\|A\|\|$ 

#### Theorem

Unitarily invariant norms on  $M_n$  correspond to 1-symmetric norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (via the singular values).

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

Generalized Mazur maps

Ideal property $||ABC||| \le ||A||_{\infty} |||B||| ||C||_{\infty}$  (actually equivalent to unitary invariance)

Ideal property $||ABC||| \le ||A||_{\infty}$  $||B||| ||C||_{\infty}$  (actually equivalent to unitary invariance)

Submultiplicativity

 $||\!|AB|\!|| \leq ||\!|A|\!|| \, ||\!|B|\!||$ 

Ideal property $||ABC||| \le ||A||_{\infty}$  $||B||| ||C||_{\infty}$  (actually equivalent to unitary invariance)

Submultiplicativity

 $||\!|AB|\!|| \leq ||\!|A|\!|| \, ||\!|B|\!||$ 

Hölder's inequality

If 1/p + 1/q = 1/r, then  $||||AB|^r |||^{1/r} \le ||||A|^p |||^{1/p} ||||B|^q |||^{1/q}$ .

18/35

Ideal property $||ABC||| \le ||A||_{\infty}$  $||B||| ||C||_{\infty}$  (actually equivalent to unitary invariance)

Submultiplicativity

 $||AB|| \leq ||A|| ||B||$ 

## Hölder's inequality

If 
$$1/p + 1/q = 1/r$$
, then  $||||AB|^r |||^{1/r} \le ||||A|^p |||^{1/p} ||||B|^q |||^{1/q}$ .

## "Interpolation"

If  $T: M_n \to M_n$  is contractive with respect to the operator and trace norms, then it is contractive with respect to any unitarily invariant norm.

#### Remark

When E is 1-symmetric we have

$$|A||_{S_{E^{(p)}}} = ||A|^p||_{S_E}^{1/p}.$$

## Definition

Define  $G_p : S_{E^{(p)}} \to S_E$  by  $G_p(x) = u|x|^p$ , where *x* has polar representation x = u|x|.

## Remark

When E is 1-symmetric we have

$$|A||_{S_{E^{(p)}}} = ||A|^p||_{S_E}^{1/p}.$$

## Definition

Define  $G_p: S_{E^{(p)}} \to S_E$  by  $G_p(x) = u|x|^p$ , where *x* has polar representation x = u|x|.

## Theorem (CD)

Let  $3 \le p < \infty$ , and let *E* be a 1-symmetric sequence space. Then the map  $G_p$  is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(S_{E^{(p)}})$  and  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$ . Moreover, the moduli of continuity of  $G_p$  and  $G_p^{-1}$  depend only on *p*.

## Remark

When E is 1-symmetric we have

$$|A||_{S_{E^{(p)}}} = ||A|^p||_{S_E}^{1/p}.$$

## Definition

Define  $G_p: S_{E^{(p)}} \to S_E$  by  $G_p(x) = u|x|^p$ , where *x* has polar representation x = u|x|.

## Theorem (CD)

Let  $3 \le p < \infty$ , and let *E* be a 1-symmetric sequence space. Then the map  $G_p$  is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(S_{E^{(p)}})$  and  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$ . Moreover, the moduli of continuity of  $G_p$  and  $G_p^{-1}$  depend only on *p*.

## Remark

When E is 1-symmetric we have

$$|A||_{S_{E^{(p)}}} = ||A|^p||_{S_E}^{1/p}.$$

## Definition

Define  $G_p: S_{E^{(p)}} \to S_E$  by  $G_p(x) = u|x|^p$ , where *x* has polar representation x = u|x|.

## Theorem (CD)

Let  $3 \le p < \infty$ , and let *E* be a 1-symmetric sequence space. Then the map  $G_p$  is a uniform homeomorphism between  $\mathscr{S}(S_{E^{(p)}})$  and  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$ . Moreover, the moduli of continuity of  $G_p$  and  $G_p^{-1}$  depend only on *p*.

The proof follows closely the strategies of [Ricard 2015].

J.A. Chávez-Domínguez (OU)

Generalized Mazur maps

# The overall structure of the proof

## STEPS:

- Prove it for positive elements.
- Provide the self-adjoint elements.
- Reduction to commutator estimate.
- Breaking commutators into pieces.
- Technical estimates.

Most of the proof is based on [Ricard 2015], replacing Hölder/Interpolation by their versions for unitarily invariant matrix norms.

# The $2\times 2$ trick

If 
$$x \in S_E$$
, define the self-adjoint element  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and observe that  $2 \|x\|_{S_E} \ge \|\tilde{x}\|_{S_E} \ge \|x\|_{S_E}$ .

# The $2\times 2$ trick

If 
$$x \in S_E$$
, define the self-adjoint element  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and observe that  $2 \|x\|_{S_E} \ge \|\tilde{x}\|_{S_E} \ge \|x\|_{S_E}$ .

## Reduction to self-adjoint case

x, y with polar decompositions x = u|x| and y = v|y|. Now define  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

# The $2\times 2$ trick

If 
$$x \in S_E$$
, define the self-adjoint element  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and observe that  $2 \|x\|_{S_E} \ge \|\tilde{x}\|_{S_E} \ge \|x\|_{S_E}$ .

## Reduction to self-adjoint case

*x*, *y* with polar decompositions x = u|x| and y = v|y|. Now define

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

They are selfadjoint with polar decompositions

$$\tilde{x} = \tilde{u}|\tilde{x}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ u^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u|x|u^* & 0 \\ 0 & |x| \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{y} = \tilde{v}|\tilde{y}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v|y|v^* & 0 \\ 0 & |y| \end{pmatrix}.$$

# The $2 \times 2$ trick

If 
$$x \in S_E$$
, define the self-adjoint element  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and observe that  $2 \|x\|_{S_E} \ge \|\tilde{x}\|_{S_E} \ge \|x\|_{S_E}$ .

## Reduction to self-adjoint case

x, y with polar decompositions x = u|x| and y = v|y|. Now define

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

They are selfadjoint with polar decompositions

0

$$\begin{split} \tilde{x} &= \tilde{u} |\tilde{x}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ u^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u |x|u^* & 0 \\ 0 & |x| \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{y} &= \tilde{v} |\tilde{y}| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v |y|v^* & 0 \\ 0 & |y| \end{pmatrix}. \\ \text{Therefore} \\ \tilde{u} |\tilde{x}|^p &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u |x|^p \\ |x|^p u^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v} |\tilde{y}|^p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v |y|^p \\ |y|^p v^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

0 / '

 $\langle |y|^p v^*$ 

# Reduction to self-adjoint case, contd.

Given  $x, y \in S_E$  define

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then

$$\|x-y\|_{S_E} \sim \|\tilde{x}-\tilde{y}\|_{S_E}$$

and

$$\|G_p(x) - G_p(y)\|_{S_E} \sim \|G_p(\tilde{x}) - G_p(\tilde{y})\|_{S_E}$$

# Reduction to commutator estimate

We use the commutator notation [x, b] = xb - bx.

Given  $x, y \in S_E$  put

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

So that

$$[z,b] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x-y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### and thus

$$\|[z,b]\|_{S_E} = \|x-y\|_{S_E}$$
 and  $\|[G_p(z),b]\|_{S_E} = \|G_p(x)-G_p(y)\|_{S_E}$ .

23/35

# Breaking commutators into pieces

Let 
$$x \in S_{E^{(p)}}^n$$
 with  $x = x^*$  and  $b \in M_n$ .

Write 
$$e_+ = 1_{[0,\infty)}(x)$$
 and  $e_- = 1_{(-\infty,0)}(x)$  and put  $b_{\pm,\pm} = e_\pm b e_\pm$ .

#### Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_p(x), b \end{bmatrix} = \\ \begin{bmatrix} x_+^p, b_{+,+} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_-^p, b_{-,-} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x_+^p b_{+,-} + b_{+,-} x_-^p \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x_-^p b_{-,+} + b_{-,+} x_+^p \end{pmatrix},$$

so we need to estimate the two types of terms.

Lemma (CD) Let  $p \ge 1, x \in S_{E^{(p)}}^{n}$  with  $x \ge 0$  and  $b \in M_{n}$ . Then  $\|[x,b]\|_{S_{E^{(p)}}} \le 4 \cdot 2^{1/p} \|[x^{p},b]\|_{S_{E}}^{1/p},$  $\|[x^{p},b]\|_{S_{E}} \le 4 \cdot 3p \cdot 2 \|x\|_{S_{E^{(p)}}}^{p-1} \|[x,b]\|_{S_{E^{(p)}}},$ 

25/35

# Technical estimates, II

## Lemma (CD)

 a Let p ≥ 1. There exists a constant C such that for any x, y ∈ S<sup>n</sup><sub>E(p)</sub> with x, y ≥ 0 and b ∈ M<sub>n</sub> we have ||x<sup>p</sup>b + by<sup>p</sup>||<sub>SE</sub> ≤ C ||x||<sup>p-1</sup><sub>SE(p)</sub> ||xb + by||<sub>SE(p)</sub>
 b If p ≥ 3, then there exists a constant C<sub>p</sub> such that for any x, y ∈ S<sup>n</sup><sub>E(p)</sub> with x, y ≥ 0 and b ∈ M<sub>n</sub> we have ||xb + by||<sub>SE(p)</sub> ≤ C<sub>p</sub> ||b||<sup>1-1/p</sup><sub>∞</sub> ||x<sup>p</sup>b + by<sup>p</sup>||<sup>1/p</sup><sub>SE</sub>.

# Technical estimates, II

## Lemma (CD)

Solution 2. Solution in the product of the pro

If  $p \ge 3$ , then there exists a constant  $C_p$  such that for any  $x, y \in S_{E^{(p)}}^n$  with  $x, y \ge 0$  and  $b \in M_n$  we have  $\|xb + by\|_{S_{E^{(p)}}} \le C_p \|b\|_{\infty}^{1-1/p} \|x^pb + by^p\|_{S_E}^{1/p}.$ 

(b) Follows immediately from a result of [Jocić 1997].

# Technical estimates, II

## Lemma (CD)

 $x, \bar{x}$ 

$$y \in S_{E^{(p)}}^n$$
 with  $x, y \ge 0$  and  $b \in M_n$  we have  
 $\left\|xb + by\right\|_{S_{E^{(p)}}} \le C_p \left\|b\right\|_{\infty}^{1-1/p} \left\|x^p b + by^p\right\|_{S_E}^{1/p}.$ 

(b) Follows immediately from a result of [Jocić 1997].

Open problem [Jocić 1997]

Is that last inequality valid for 1 ?

Open problem [Jocić 1997]

Is that last inequality valid for 1 ?

# Why $p \ge 3$ ?

I don't have a good conceptual explanation. A calculation needs  $(p-1)/2 \geq 1$  in order to use convexity.

Open problem [Jocić 1997]

Is that last inequality valid for 1 ?

# Why $p \ge 3$ ?

I don't have a good conceptual explanation. A calculation needs  $(p-1)/2 \ge 1$  in order to use convexity.

## What about Ricard's approach?

For a fixed  $x \ge 0$ , he uses that the spaces  $L_p(x^{\alpha})$  given by

$$||b||_{L_p(x^{\alpha})} = ||x^{\alpha}b + bx^{\alpha}||_{L_p}$$

interpolate in p and  $\alpha$  [Ricard–Xu 2011].

## Definition (Quantum relative entropy)

If  $\rho \in M_n$  is a state (that is,  $\rho \ge 0$  and  $tr(\rho) = 1$ ), and  $\sigma \in M_n^+$ , we define

$$D(\rho||\sigma) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{tr}[\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma)] & \text{if } \operatorname{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\sigma), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where the support of an operator  $A \in M_n$  is defined as the orthogonal complement of its kernel,  $\operatorname{supp}(A) = \ker(A)^{\perp}$ .

Notice the similarity of the term  $-\rho \log \sigma$  with the expression used to define the Odell–Schlumprecht map.

# Some properties of quantum relative entropy

Monotonicity

If  $\rho \in M_n$  is a state,  $0 \le \sigma \le \sigma'$ , then  $D(\rho || \sigma') \le D(\rho || \sigma)$ .

# Some properties of quantum relative entropy

#### **Monotonicity**

If  $\rho \in M_n$  is a state,  $0 \le \sigma \le \sigma'$ , then  $D(\rho || \sigma') \le D(\rho || \sigma)$ .

Multiplication by constants

 $D(\rho||c\sigma) = D(\rho||\sigma) - \log c$ 

# Some properties of quantum relative entropy

#### Monotonicity

If  $\rho \in M_n$  is a state,  $0 \le \sigma \le \sigma'$ , then  $D(\rho || \sigma') \le D(\rho || \sigma)$ .

# Multiplication by constants $D(\rho||c\sigma) = D(\rho||\sigma) - \log c$

# Joint convexity

 $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \geq 0, \sum_j \lambda_j = 1$  then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j D(\rho_j ||\sigma_j) \ge D\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \rho_j \right) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \sigma_j \right\|$$

# Entropy-based noncommutative generalized Mazur map

## Definition (CD)

If  $X = (M_n, ||\!| \cdot ||\!|)$  is a unitarily invariant strictly convex norm and and  $\rho \in M_n$  is a state, we define  $F_X(\rho)$  to be the  $\sigma \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+$  minimizing the relative entropy with respect to  $\rho$ , that is,

$$F_X(\rho) = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\sigma \in \mathscr{B}(X)^+} D(\rho || \sigma).$$

## Proposition (CD)

Let  $X = (M_n, ||| \cdot |||)$  be an unitarily invariant matrix norm which is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth. Then  $F_X : \mathscr{S}(S_1^n)^+ \to \mathscr{S}(X)^+$ is a uniform homeomorphism; moreover

- The modulus of continuity of *F<sub>X</sub>* depends only on the modulus of uniform convexity of *X*
- The modulus of continuity of  $F_X^{-1}$  depends only on the modulus of uniform smoothness of *X*.

## Proposition (CD)

Let  $X = (M_n, ||| \cdot |||)$  be an unitarily invariant matrix norm which is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth. Then  $F_X : \mathscr{S}(S_1^n)^+ \to \mathscr{S}(X)^+$ is a uniform homeomorphism; moreover

- The modulus of continuity of *F<sub>X</sub>* depends only on the modulus of uniform convexity of *X*
- The modulus of continuity of  $F_X^{-1}$  depends only on the modulus of uniform smoothness of *X*.

## Proposition (CD)

Let  $X = (M_n, ||| \cdot |||)$  be an unitarily invariant matrix norm which is uniformly convex and uniformly smooth. Then  $F_X : \mathscr{S}(S_1^n)^+ \to \mathscr{S}(X)^+$ is a uniform homeomorphism; moreover

- The modulus of continuity of *F<sub>X</sub>* depends only on the modulus of uniform convexity of *X*
- The modulus of continuity of  $F_X^{-1}$  depends only on the modulus of uniform smoothness of *X*.

## The remaining challenge

Check that we also get a uniform homeomorphism between the whole spheres.

# A big issue with the $2 \times 2$ trick

For the previous generalized Mazur map  $G_p$ ,

$$G_p\left(\begin{pmatrix}x & 0\\ 0 & y\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}G_p(x) & 0\\ 0 & G_p(y)\end{pmatrix}$$

However, it is not obvious whether

$$F_X\left(\begin{pmatrix}x & 0\\ 0 & y\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}F_X(x) & 0\\ 0 & F_X(y)\end{pmatrix}$$

(or something similar, technically this doesn't quite make sense because  $F_X$  is only defined on states).

# A way around it: complex interpolation

## Theorem (Daher, Kalton 1995)

Let  $X_0, X_1$  be an interpolation pair with one of them being uniformly convex. Then for any  $\theta, \eta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathscr{S}(X_{\theta})$  and  $\mathscr{S}(X_{\eta})$  are uniformly homeomorphic.

#### Theorem

Let  $E_0, E_1$  be an interpolation pair of 1-symmetric sequence spaces. Then  $(S_{E_0}, S_{E_1})_{\theta} = S_{(E_0, E_1)_{\theta}}$ .

## Proof of the conjecture

Adapt Daher's proof of the Odell–Schlumprecht theorem: still uses  $G_p$ , but replaces the entropy-based Mazur map by the complex interpolation argument.

33/35

# Theorem (CD)

Let *E* be a 1-unconditional sequence space. The following are equivalent:

- **(a)**  $\mathscr{S}(S_E)$  is uniformly homeomorphic to  $\mathscr{S}(S_1)$ .
- S<sub>E</sub> does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$  's uniformly.
- **D** E does not contain  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$  's uniformly.

# **THANKS**!