# On minimal and unbounded topologies

# Mitchell Taylor

#### UC Berkeley

# Workshop on Banach spaces and Banach lattices, ICMAT, 2019.

In this talk we will discuss "coarse" topologies on vector lattices.

In this talk we will discuss "coarse" topologies on vector lattices.

It so happens that the topologies we usually study on Banach lattices (including the absolute weak topology) are rather strong.

In this talk we will discuss "coarse" topologies on vector lattices.

It so happens that the topologies we usually study on Banach lattices (including the absolute weak topology) are rather strong.

#### Theorem

Let X be a Banach lattice. Then the norm topology is the finest topology on X that respects the lattice structure.

A subset V of a vector lattice X is called **solid** if  $v \in V$  and  $|x| \le |v|$  implies  $x \in V$ .

A subset V of a vector lattice X is called **solid** if  $v \in V$  and  $|x| \leq |v|$  implies  $x \in V$ .

A linear topology  $\tau$  is said to be **locally solid** if it has a base at zero consisting of solid sets.

A subset V of a vector lattice X is called **solid** if  $v \in V$  and  $|x| \leq |v|$  implies  $x \in V$ .

A linear topology  $\tau$  is said to be **locally solid** if it has a base at zero consisting of solid sets.

A linear topology is locally solid iff lattice operations are uniformly continuous.

A subset V of a vector lattice X is called **solid** if  $v \in V$  and  $|x| \leq |v|$  implies  $x \in V$ .

A linear topology  $\tau$  is said to be **locally solid** if it has a base at zero consisting of solid sets.

A linear topology is locally solid iff lattice operations are uniformly continuous.

Or equivalently if lattice operations are continuous and from  $0 \le x_{\alpha} \le y_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  we can conclude that  $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ .

For each solid set  $V \subseteq X$  and each  $a \ge 0$  define  $V_a := \{x \in X : |x| \land a \in V\}$ . It is easy to see that  $V_a$  is also solid and  $V \subseteq V_a$ .

Let A be an ideal of a vector lattice X, and  $\tau$  a locally solid topology on X.

For each solid set  $V \subseteq X$  and each  $a \ge 0$  define  $V_a := \{x \in X : |x| \land a \in V\}$ . It is easy to see that  $V_a$  is also solid and  $V \subseteq V_a$ .

Let A be an ideal of a vector lattice X, and  $\tau$  a locally solid topology on X.

Since  $\tau$  is a locally solid topology, it has a base,  $\mathcal{N}_0$ , at zero consisting of solid sets. The collection  $\{V_a : V \in \mathcal{N}_0, a \in A_+\}$  defines a locally solid topology,  $u_A \tau$ , on X.

•  $u_A \tau \subseteq \tau$ , and if *B* is another ideal then  $u_A(u_B \tau) = u_B(u_A \tau) = u_{A \cap B} \tau$ .

•  $u_A \tau \subseteq \tau$ , and if *B* is another ideal then  $u_A(u_B \tau) = u_B(u_A \tau) = u_{A \cap B} \tau$ .

• 
$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u_{A}\tau} x$$
 iff  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  for all  $a \in A_{+}$ .

•  $u_A \tau \subseteq \tau$ , and if *B* is another ideal then  $u_A(u_B \tau) = u_B(u_A \tau) = u_{A \cap B} \tau$ .

• 
$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u_{A}\tau} x$$
 iff  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  for all  $a \in A_{+}$ .

•  $u_A \tau$  is Hausdorff iff  $\tau$  is Hausdorff and A is order dense in X.

•  $u_A \tau \subseteq \tau$ , and if *B* is another ideal then  $u_A(u_B \tau) = u_B(u_A \tau) = u_{A \cap B} \tau$ .

• 
$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u_{A}\tau} x$$
 iff  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{\tau} 0$  for all  $a \in A_{+}$ .

- $u_A \tau$  is Hausdorff iff  $\tau$  is Hausdorff and A is order dense in X.
- We define uτ := u<sub>X</sub>τ. The map τ → uτ from the set of locally solid topologies on X to itself is idempotent.

## Definition

A locally solid topology is **unbounded** if  $\tau = u\tau$  or, equivalently, if  $\tau = u\sigma$  for some locally solid topology  $\sigma$ .

Examples of unbounded topologies include  $u_A \tau$  for every ideal A and locally solid topology  $\tau$ .

Since order convergence is topological iff X is finite dimensional, we must separately define unbounded order convergence.

We say that  $x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$  iff  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{o} 0$  for each  $a \in X_+$ .

Since order convergence is topological iff X is finite dimensional, we must separately define unbounded order convergence.

We say that 
$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{uo} x$$
 iff  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{o} 0$  for each  $a \in X_+$ .

uo-convergence, although not part of the theory of unbounded topologies, plays a key role.

Define 
$$x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{u_{A}o} x$$
 if  $|x_{\alpha} - x| \wedge a \xrightarrow{o} 0$  for each  $a \in A_{+}$ .

Theorem (Li, Chen)

- If A is order dense then  $u_A o = uo$ ;
- If A is not order dense then u<sub>A</sub>o fails to have unique limits.

The situation is very different for topologies since there is a "gap" between an ideal being order dense and topologically dense.

# Definition

A Hausdorff locally solid topology  $\tau$  is said to be **minimal** if it follows from  $\sigma \subseteq \tau$  and  $\sigma$  Hausdorff and locally solid that  $\sigma = \tau$ .

Minimal topologies have been studied by Aliprantis, Burkinshaw, Labuda, and Conradie ('78-'05).

#### Theorem

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff locally solid vector lattice. TFAE: •  $\tau$  is minimal;

#### Theorem

- $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;

#### Theorem

- $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bullet$  o-null nets are  $\tau$ -null and  $\tau$  is unbounded;

### Theorem

- $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bigcirc$  o-null nets are  $\tau$ -null and  $\tau$  is unbounded;
- $\tau = u_A \tau$  for every order dense ideal  $A \subseteq X$ .

### Theorem

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff locally solid vector lattice. TFAE:

- $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bullet$  o-null nets are  $\tau$ -null and  $\tau$  is unbounded;
- $\tau = u_A \tau$  for every order dense ideal  $A \subseteq X$ .

Moreover, minimal topologies are **unique**, and in spaces of measurable functions the minimal topology is convergence in measure.

Let X be an order continuous Banach lattice. Since the norm topology is the finest locally solid topology on X, the unbounded norm topology is the finest unbounded topology on X, and since it is also minimal, it must be the coarsest Hausdorff locally solid topology on X.

Let X be an order continuous Banach lattice. Since the norm topology is the finest locally solid topology on X, the unbounded norm topology is the finest unbounded topology on X, and since it is also minimal, it must be the coarsest Hausdorff locally solid topology on X.

 $L_{\infty}[0, 1]$  admits a minimal topology but it is not coarsest amongst all Hausdorff locally solid topologies.

Let X be an order continuous Banach lattice. Since the norm topology is the finest locally solid topology on X, the unbounded norm topology is the finest unbounded topology on X, and since it is also minimal, it must be the coarsest Hausdorff locally solid topology on X.

 $L_{\infty}[0, 1]$  admits a minimal topology but it is not coarsest amongst all Hausdorff locally solid topologies.

C[0,1] admits no minimal topology.

# Properties of minimal topologies

# Theorem (Conradie, Taylor, Kandic)

Let  $\tau$  be a minimal topology:

 τ is locally convex iff X is atomic. In general, 0 ≠ f ∈ (X, τ)\* implies f is a linear combination of coordinate functionals of atoms;

- τ is locally convex iff X is atomic. In general, 0 ≠ f ∈ (X, τ)\* implies f is a linear combination of coordinate functionals of atoms;
- *τ* is complete iff *τ* has MCP iff *τ* is monotonically complete iff X is universally complete;

- τ is locally convex iff X is atomic. In general, 0 ≠ f ∈ (X, τ)\* implies f is a linear combination of coordinate functionals of atoms;
- *τ* is complete iff *τ* has MCP iff *τ* is monotonically complete iff X is universally complete;
- τ is metrizable iff X has the countable sup property and a countable order basis iff X<sup>u</sup> has the countable sup property;

- τ is locally convex iff X is atomic. In general, 0 ≠ f ∈ (X, τ)\* implies f is a linear combination of coordinate functionals of atoms;
- *τ* is complete iff *τ* has MCP iff *τ* is monotonically complete iff X is universally complete;
- τ is metrizable iff X has the countable sup property and a countable order basis iff X<sup>u</sup> has the countable sup property;
- $\tau$  is locally bounded iff X is finite dimensional.

- τ is locally convex iff X is atomic. In general, 0 ≠ f ∈ (X, τ)\* implies f is a linear combination of coordinate functionals of atoms;
- *τ* is complete iff *τ* has MCP iff *τ* is monotonically complete iff X is universally complete;
- τ is metrizable iff X has the countable sup property and a countable order basis iff X<sup>u</sup> has the countable sup property;
- $\tau$  is locally bounded iff X is finite dimensional.
- etc...

# Another look at the characterization of minimal topologies

#### Theorem

- $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bullet$   $\tau$  is order continuous and unbounded;
- $\tau = u_A \tau$  for every order dense ideal  $A \subseteq X$ ;

# Another look at the characterization of minimal topologies

### Theorem

- **1**  $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bullet$   $\tau$  is order continuous and unbounded;
- $\tau = u_A \tau$  for every order dense ideal  $A \subseteq X$ ;
- **5** au extends to a locally solid topology on  $X^u$ .

# Another look at the characterization of minimal topologies

## Theorem

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff locally solid vector lattice. TFAE:

- **1**  $\tau$  is minimal;
- 2 uo-null nets are  $\tau$ -null;
- $\bullet$   $\tau$  is order continuous and unbounded;
- $\tau = u_A \tau$  for every order dense ideal  $A \subseteq X$ ;
- **(**)  $\tau$  extends to a locally solid topology on  $X^u$ .

The universal completion satisfies two universal properties: It is the **smallest** universally complete space containing X, but it is also the **largest** order dense extension of X. It is therefore rare that topologies extend to  $X^u$ , but minimal topologies satisfy  $\widehat{(X, \tau)} = X^u$ .

We say a Hausdorff locally solid topology is  $\sigma$ -universal if *uo*-null sequences are  $\tau$ -null or, equivalently, if *uo*-Cauchy sequences are  $\tau$ -Cauchy.

We say a Hausdorff locally solid topology is  $\sigma$ -universal if *uo*-null sequences are  $\tau$ -null or, equivalently, if *uo*-Cauchy sequences are  $\tau$ -Cauchy.

Recall that a vector lattice is **universally**  $\sigma$ -complete if it is both  $\sigma$ -order complete and laterally  $\sigma$ -complete. A **universal**  $\sigma$ -completion of X is a universally  $\sigma$ -complete vector lattice containing a sequentially order dense sublattice isomorphic to X. We say a Hausdorff locally solid topology is  $\sigma$ -universal if *uo*-null sequences are  $\tau$ -null or, equivalently, if *uo*-Cauchy sequences are  $\tau$ -Cauchy.

Recall that a vector lattice is **universally**  $\sigma$ -complete if it is both  $\sigma$ -order complete and laterally  $\sigma$ -complete. A **universal**  $\sigma$ -completion of X is a universally  $\sigma$ -complete vector lattice containing a sequentially order dense sublattice isomorphic to X.

The universal  $\sigma$ -completion  $X^s$  is unique, and exists iff X is almost  $\sigma$ -order complete. In this case it is the largest sequentially order dense extension of X.

Let X be an almost  $\sigma$ -order complete vector lattice and  $\tau$  a Hausdorff locally solid topology on X. TFAE:

- $\tau$  extends to a (Hausdorff) locally solid topology on  $X^s$ ;
- **2**  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -universal.

Moreover, the extension specified in (i) is unique.

There is no problem with measurable cardinals here (but there will be later).

Let X be an almost  $\sigma$ -order complete vector lattice and  $\tau$  a Hausdorff locally solid topology on X. TFAE:

- $\tau$  extends to a (Hausdorff) locally solid topology on  $X^s$ ;
- **2**  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -universal.

Moreover, the extension specified in (i) is unique.

There is no problem with measurable cardinals here (but there will be later).

 $\sigma$ -universal topologies are not unique! The space  $\mathcal{L}_0[0,1]$  is universally  $\sigma$ -complete and admits infinitely many Hausdorff locally solid topologies.

Let X be an almost  $\sigma$ -order complete vector lattice and  $\tau$  a Hausdorff locally solid topology on X. TFAE:

- $\tau$  extends to a (Hausdorff) locally solid topology on  $X^s$ ;
- **2**  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -universal.

Moreover, the extension specified in (i) is unique.

There is no problem with measurable cardinals here (but there will be later).

 $\sigma$ -universal topologies are not unique! The space  $\mathcal{L}_0[0,1]$  is universally  $\sigma$ -complete and admits infinitely many Hausdorff locally solid topologies.

The coarsest topology on  $\mathcal{L}_0[0,1]$  is pointwise convergence, but one can also consider the topology of simultaneous pointwise convergence and convergence in measure.

Let  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff locally solid topology on an almost  $\sigma$ -order complete vector lattice X. TFAE:

- $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -universal;
- **2**  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -Fatou and disjoint sequences are  $\tau$ -null;
- **③**  $\tau$  is  $\sigma$ -Fatou and disjoint sequences are  $\tau$ -bounded.

The restriction of a minimal topology to a sublattice is minimal iff the sublattice is regular.

## Theorem

Every unbounded  $\sigma$ -universal topology arises as the restriction of a minimal topology to a  $\sigma$ -regular sublattice.

It is open whether every  $\sigma$ -universal topology is unbounded.

The restriction of a minimal topology to a sublattice is minimal iff the sublattice is regular.

## Theorem

Every unbounded  $\sigma$ -universal topology arises as the restriction of a minimal topology to a  $\sigma$ -regular sublattice.

It is open whether every  $\sigma$ -universal topology is unbounded.

Roughly speaking, given a property P, the characterization of when  $\sigma$ -universal topologies have P is either the same as the characterization of when minimal topologies have P, or measurable cardinals cause some wild behaviour to occur.

Thank you

æ

∢ ≣⇒