

# Fragmentation, amalgamation and twisted Hilbert spaces

Daniel Morales González

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad de Extremadura

September 12, 2019

This work was supported by project MTM2016-76958-C2-1-P



1 Palais' problem and twisted Hilbert spaces

2 Complex interpolation and derivations

3 Fragmentation and amalgamation

1 Palais' problem and twisted Hilbert spaces

2 Complex interpolation and derivations

3 Fragmentation and amalgamation

# Palais' problem

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ .

## Palais' problem

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ .

### Problem (Palais')

*If  $Y$  and  $X/Y$  are isomorphic to a Hilbert space, has  $X$  to be isomorphic to a Hilbert space?*

## Palais' problem

Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $X$ .

### Problem (Palais')

*If  $Y$  and  $X/Y$  are isomorphic to a Hilbert space, has  $X$  to be isomorphic to a Hilbert space?*

The theory of twisted Hilbert spaces grew from this problem.

# Twisted Hilbert spaces

## Definition

A *twisted Hilbert space* is a Banach space  $X$  with a subspace  $Y$  isomorphic to a Hilbert space such that the corresponding quotient  $X/Y$  is also isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

# Twisted Hilbert spaces

## Definition

A *twisted Hilbert space* is a Banach space  $X$  with a subspace  $Y$  isomorphic to a Hilbert space such that the corresponding quotient  $X/Y$  is also isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

In homological terms, it is the space in the middle of a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 0.$$



# Twisted Hilbert spaces

## Definition

A *twisted Hilbert space* is a Banach space  $X$  with a subspace  $Y$  isomorphic to a Hilbert space such that the corresponding quotient  $X/Y$  is also isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

In homological terms, it is the space in the middle of a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 0.$$

The first twisted Hilbert space that one can see is the proper Hilbert space

# Twisted Hilbert spaces

We say that a twisted Hilbert is *trivial* when the exact sequence splits, or equivalently

## Twisted Hilbert spaces

We say that a twisted Hilbert is *trivial* when the exact sequence splits, or equivalently

- the space in the middle is the direct sum of the subspace and the quotient,

# Twisted Hilbert spaces

We say that a twisted Hilbert is *trivial* when the exact sequence splits, or equivalently

- the space in the middle is the direct sum of the subspace and the quotient,
- the subspace is complemented,

# Twisted Hilbert spaces

We say that a twisted Hilbert is *trivial* when the exact sequence splits, or equivalently

- the space in the middle is the direct sum of the subspace and the quotient,
- the subspace is complemented,
- there exists a continuous projection from the space to the subspace.

## Twisted Hilbert spaces

We say that a twisted Hilbert is *trivial* when the exact sequence splits, or equivalently

- the space in the middle is the direct sum of the subspace and the quotient,
- the subspace is complemented,
- there exists a continuous projection from the space to the subspace.

The first non-trivial twisted Hilbert was obtained by Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier, giving a negative answer to Palais' problem.

## ELP space

This twisted Hilbert of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier, (ELP) has the form  $\ell_2(F_n)$ , where  $F_n$  are finite-dimensional Banach spaces.

## ELP space

This twisted Hilbert of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier, (ELP) has the form  $\ell_2(F_n)$ , where  $F_n$  are finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Precisely, they constructed these exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \ell_2^{n^2} \xrightarrow{P_n} ELP^n \longrightarrow \ell_2^n \longrightarrow 0$$

in such a way that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = \infty$ .



## ELP space

This twisted Hilbert of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier, (ELP) has the form  $\ell_2(F_n)$ , where  $F_n$  are finite-dimensional Banach spaces. Precisely, they constructed these exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \ell_2^{n^2} \xrightarrow{P_n} ELP^n \longrightarrow \ell_2^n \longrightarrow 0$$

in such a way that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = \infty$ .

So pasting all with the  $\ell_2$  norm it results

$$0 \longrightarrow \ell_2(\ell_2^{n^2}) \longrightarrow \ell_2(ELP^n) \longrightarrow \ell_2(\ell_2^n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and cannot exist a continuous projection to the subspace.

1 Palais' problem and twisted Hilbert spaces

2 Complex interpolation and derivations

3 Fragmentation and amalgamation

# Complex interpolation

Other method to construct twisted Hilbert spaces is by complex interpolation.

# Complex interpolation

Other method to construct twisted Hilbert spaces is by complex interpolation.

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the open strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$  and let  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$  its closure.

# Complex interpolation

Other method to construct twisted Hilbert spaces is by complex interpolation.

Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the open strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re}(z) < 1\}$  and let  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$  its closure.

Given an admissible pair  $(X_0, X_1)$  of complex Banach spaces, let  $\Sigma = X_0 + X_1$  endowed with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf\{\|x_0\|_0 + \|x_1\|_1 : x = x_0 + x_1\}.$$

# Complex interpolation

We denote  $\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$  to the space of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying these conditions:

# Complex interpolation

We denote  $\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$  to the space of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying these conditions:

- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -bounded and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ ,

# Complex interpolation

We denote  $\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$  to the space of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying these conditions:

- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -bounded and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ ,
- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -analytic on  $\mathbb{S}$ ,



# Complex interpolation

We denote  $\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$  to the space of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying these conditions:

- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -bounded and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ ,
- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -analytic on  $\mathbb{S}$ ,
- $f(it + j) \in X_j$ , ( $j = 0, 1$ ) and the map  $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(it + j)$  is bounded and continuous.

# Complex interpolation

We denote  $\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$  to the space of functions  $f : \bar{\mathbb{S}} \rightarrow \Sigma$  satisfying these conditions:

- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -bounded and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -continuous on  $\bar{\mathbb{S}}$ ,
- $f$  is  $\|\cdot\|_{\Sigma}$ -analytic on  $\mathbb{S}$ ,
- $f(it + j) \in X_j$ , ( $j = 0, 1$ ) and the map  $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto f(it + j)$  is bounded and continuous.

This space  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \sup\{\|f(it + j)\|_j : j = 0, 1; t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

# Complex interpolation

Now, we can define the interpolated space at  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$X_\theta = (X_0, X_1)_\theta = \{x \in \Sigma : x = f(\theta) \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

with the norm

$$\|x\|_\theta = \inf\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} : x = f(\theta)\}.$$

# Complex interpolation

Now, we can define the interpolated space at  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$

$$X_\theta = (X_0, X_1)_\theta = \{x \in \Sigma : x = f(\theta) \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

with the norm

$$\|x\|_\theta = \inf\{\|f\|_\mathcal{F} : x = f(\theta)\}.$$

Now, if  $\delta_\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the evaluation map at  $\theta$ , then  $X_\theta$  is the quotient of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\ker \delta_\theta$ ,

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker \delta_\theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow X_\theta \longrightarrow 0.$$

# Complex interpolation

The following lemma provides the connection between complex interpolation and twisted Hilbert spaces

Lemma

$\delta'_\theta : \ker \delta_\theta \rightarrow X_\theta$  is bounded and onto for  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

# Complex interpolation

The following lemma provides the connection between complex interpolation and twisted Hilbert spaces

## Lemma

$\delta'_\theta : \ker \delta_\theta \rightarrow X_\theta$  is bounded and onto for  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

If the interpolated space is a Hilbert space  $H$  we can complete the diagram doing a push-out

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker \delta & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \delta' & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & PO & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

# Derivations

Let  $B : H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta$ .

# Derivations

Let  $B : H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta$ .

The map  $\Omega = \delta' B$  is called the *associated derivation* to the twisted Hilbert space.



# Derivations

Let  $B : H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta$ .

The map  $\Omega = \delta' B$  is called the *associated derivation* to the twisted Hilbert space.

## Example (Kalton-Peck derivation)

Fix the couple  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . When we interpolate this scale at  $1/2$  appears the space  $\ell_2$ , and the map

$B(x)(z) = x^{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(1-z)}$  is a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta_{1/2}$ ,

# Derivations

Let  $B : H \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  be a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta$ .

The map  $\Omega = \delta' B$  is called the *associated derivation* to the twisted Hilbert space.

## Example (Kalton-Peck derivation)

Fix the couple  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . When we interpolate this scale at  $1/2$  appears the space  $\ell_2$ , and the map

$B(x)(z) = x^{2\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)(1-z)}$  is a bounded homogeneous selection for  $\delta_{1/2}$ , so the derivation is

$$\mathcal{K}(x) = -2 \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) x \log \frac{|x|}{\|x\|}.$$

1 Palais' problem and twisted Hilbert spaces

2 Complex interpolation and derivations

3 Fragmentation and amalgamation

# Fragmentation

Let us break a twisted Hilbert space and then repair it in the spirit of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier.

# Fragmentation

Let us break a twisted Hilbert space and then repair it in the spirit of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier.

Let  $L$  be a Banach space such that there is a common unconditional basis for  $L$  and his dual  $L^*$ .

# Fragmentation

Let us break a twisted Hilbert space and then repair it in the spirit of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier.

Let  $L$  be a Banach space such that there is a common unconditional basis for  $L$  and his dual  $L^*$ .

Given a finite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$L(A) = \{x \in L : \text{supp}(x) \subset A\},$$

with the norm  $\|x\|_{L(A)} = \|1_A x\|_L$ .

# Fragmentation

Let us break a twisted Hilbert space and then repair it in the spirit of Enflo, Lindenstrauss and Pisier.

Let  $L$  be a Banach space such that there is a common unconditional basis for  $L$  and his dual  $L^*$ .

Given a finite set  $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$L(A) = \{x \in L : \text{supp}(x) \subset A\},$$

with the norm  $\|x\|_{L(A)} = \|1_A x\|_L$ .

## Lemma

$(L(A), L^*(A))_\theta = (L, L^*)_\theta(A)$  with derivation  
 $\Omega_A(x) = 1_A \Omega(1_A x)$ .

# Amalgamation

Now, we are pasting the pieces  $L(A_n)$  together using the "glue" of a Banach sequence space, or in a generalized form:



# Amalgamation

Now, we are pasting the pieces  $L(A_n)$  together using the "glue" of a Banach sequence space, or in a generalized form:

Let  $\Lambda$  be a Köthe space defined on a measure space  $M$ .

# Amalgamation

Now, we are pasting the pieces  $L(A_n)$  together using the "glue" of a Banach sequence space, or in a generalized form:

Let  $\Lambda$  be a Köthe space defined on a measure space  $M$ . Given a Banach space  $X$  one can define the vector valued Banach space  $\Lambda(X)$  of measurable functions  $f : M \rightarrow X$  such that the function  $\hat{f}(\cdot) = \|f(\cdot)\|_X : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $t \mapsto \|f(t)\|_X$  is in  $\Lambda$ , endowed with the norm  $\| \|f(\cdot)\|_X \|_\Lambda$ .

# Amalgamation

## Theorem

*Fix  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Let  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$  an interpolation couple of Banach lattices on the same measure space for which  $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)_\theta = \lambda_0^{1-\theta} \lambda_1^\theta$  with associated derivation  $\omega_\theta$ . Let  $(X_0, X_1)$  be an interpolation couple of Banach spaces with associated derivation  $\Omega_\theta$  at  $\theta$ . Assume that  $\lambda_0(X_0)$  is reflexive. Then*

$$(\lambda_0(X_0), \lambda_1(X_1))_\theta = \lambda_0^{1-\theta} \lambda_1^\theta ((X_0, X_1)_\theta)$$

*with associated derivation  $\Phi_\theta$  defined on the dense subspace of simple functions as follows: given  $f = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n 1_{A_n}$  then*

$$\Phi_\theta(f) = \omega_\theta(\widehat{f}(\cdot)) \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{\|a_n\|} 1_{A_n} + \sum_{n=1}^N \Omega_\theta(a_n) 1_{A_n}.$$

# Amalgamation

Let us see some example, consider the couples  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$  and  $(\ell_q, \ell_p)$  (in reversed order). The interpolated space is  $\ell_2(\ell_2)$ .

# Amalgamation

Let us see some example, consider the couples  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$  and  $(\ell_q, \ell_p)$  (in reversed order). The interpolated space is  $\ell_2(\ell_2)$ . The derivation at  $1/2$  respect to the first couple is

$\mathcal{K}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}\right) \sum_k x_k \log \frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|} u_k$  where  $(u_k)$  denotes the canonical basis of  $\ell_2$ ;

# Amalgamation

Let us see some example, consider the couples  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$  and  $(\ell_q, \ell_p)$  (in reversed order). The interpolated space is  $\ell_2(\ell_2)$ . The derivation at  $1/2$  respect to the first couple is

$\mathcal{K}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}\right) \sum_k x_k \log \frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|} u_k$  where  $(u_k)$  denotes the canonical basis of  $\ell_2$ ; respect the second couple, the derivation at  $1/2$  is  $-\mathcal{K}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}\right) \sum_k x_k \log \frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|} e_k$ .

# Amalgamation

Let us see some example, consider the couples  $(\ell_p, \ell_q)$  and  $(\ell_q, \ell_p)$  (in reversed order). The interpolated space is  $\ell_2(\ell_2)$ . The derivation at  $1/2$  respect to the first couple is

$\mathcal{K}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}\right) \sum_k x_k \log \frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|} u_k$  where  $(u_k)$  denotes the canonical basis of  $\ell_2$ ; respect the second couple, the derivation at  $1/2$  is  $-\mathcal{K}(x) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{q}\right) \sum_k x_k \log \frac{|x_k|}{\|x\|} e_k$ . Thus, according to the Theorem the associated derivation at  $a = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k u_k$  with  $a_k \in \ell_2$  given by

$$\Phi(a) = \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{2}{p^*}\right) \sum_{k=1}^N \left( a_k \log \frac{\|a_k\|}{\|a\|} - \sum_n a_k(n) \log \frac{|a_k(n)|}{\|a_k\|} e_n \right) u_k.$$

*Thank you for your attention*