

# A characterization of Random Variables

Paolo LEONETTI

(based on joint work with Simone CERREIA-VIOGLIO and Fabio MACCHERONI)

Department of Statistics, Università Bocconi,  
Milan (IT) - leonetti.paolo@gmail.com

---

Workshop on Banach spaces and Banach Lattices

Madrid– Sep 11, 2019

---

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbb{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Some examples

- **Real numbers.**

Let  $F$  be a totally ordered field which is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ ).

Then  $F = \mathbf{R}$ , up to field isomorphism.

- (*Kakutani, 1941*) **Continuous functions over a compact  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice (i.e., a complete normed vector lattice) such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ .

Then there exists a compact space  $K$  such that  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ , up to lattice isometry.

In addition,  $K$  is unique, up to homeomorphism.

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Bounded measurable functions $L^\infty(P)$

- (*Abramovich et al., 1994*) **Bounded random variables.**

Let  $E$  be a Banach lattice such that:

1. there exists a unit  $e$ , i.e.,  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ ;
2.  $\|x \vee y\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$ ;
3.  $E$  is Dedekind complete (i.e., each nonempty upper bounded subset  $S$  admits the least upper bound  $\sup(S)$ );
4.  $E$  admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

Then there exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isometry.

*Corollary.* Each  $L^\infty(P)$  can be regarded as a space  $\mathcal{C}(K)$ .

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .

- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .
- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

QUESTION: Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

## Measurable functions $L^0(\mu)$

- (*Masterson, 1969*) **Measurable functions.**

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E = L^0(\mu)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if:

1.  $E$  is Dedekind complete;
2.  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ );
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists); and
4. The extended order continuous dual  $\Gamma(E)$  of  $E$  is separating on  $E$ .

- Here,  $\Gamma(E)$ , is the set of equivalence classes of order continuous linear functionals defined on order dense ideals of  $E$ , where two functionals are identified whenever they agree on an order dense ideal of  $E$ .
- It is known that  $\Gamma(E)$  is separating on  $E$  if and only if there exists an order dense ideal  $I$  of  $E$  such that the order continuous dual of  $I$  is separating on  $I$ . Moreover, in that case, there exists an order dense ideal which admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional.

**QUESTION:** Can we provide a more "concrete" characterization?

# Main result

## Characterization of (equivalence classes of) random variables $L^0(P)$

Let  $E$  be a Dedekind complete vector lattice with weak order unit  $e > 0$  (i.e.,  $0 \leq x \wedge e = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ ). Then the following are equivalent:

1. There exists a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  such that  $E = L^0(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. There exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi : E_e \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , where  $E_e := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [-ne, ne]$ , for which the induced metric

$$d_\varphi : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R} : (x, y) \mapsto \varphi(|x - y| \wedge e)$$

is complete on  $E$ .

3. There exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\psi : E_e \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  and  $E$  is laterally complete (i.e., the supremum of every disjoint subset of  $E^+$  exists in  $E$ ).

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## Main result

Moreover, in such case, we have:

1.  $E_e = L^\infty(P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism;
2. The metrics  $d_\varphi$  and  $d_\psi$  are topologically equivalent; and
3.  $E$  has the countable sup property (i.e., the least upper bound of subsets  $S$  can be attained through sequences in  $S$ , provided it exists).

As a corollary:

### Characterization of $L^0(P)$ in Archimedean Riesz spaces

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean vector lattice. Then  $E = L^0(P)$  for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , up to lattice isomorphism, if and only if  $E$  is Dedekind complete, laterally complete, and admits a strictly positive order continuous linear functional on  $E_e$ .

## An application: Predicatable stochastic processes

- Given a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, P)$ , then a stochastic process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is said to be *predictable* if  $X_{n+1}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

- Note the collection  $\mathbb{L}$  of (equivalence classes of) predictable stochastic processes is a proper subset of  $L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)^{\mathbf{N}}$ .

- It follows by our main result that

$$\mathbb{L} = L^0(X, \Sigma, \mu),$$

up to lattice isomorphism, for some probability space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

## An application: Predicatable stochastic processes

- Given a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, P)$ , then a stochastic process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is said to be *predictable* if  $X_{n+1}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .
- Note the collection  $\mathbb{L}$  of (equivalence classes of) predicatable stochastic processes is a proper subset of  $L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)^{\mathbf{N}}$ .
- It follows by our main result that

$$\mathbb{L} = L^0(X, \Sigma, \mu),$$

up to lattice isomorphism, for some probability space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

## An application: Predicatable stochastic processes

- Given a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}, P)$ , then a stochastic process  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$  is said to be *predictable* if  $X_{n+1}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .
- Note the collection  $\mathbb{L}$  of (equivalence classes of) predicatable stochastic processes is a proper subset of  $L_0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)^{\mathbf{N}}$ .
- It follows by our main result that

$$\mathbb{L} = L^0(X, \Sigma, \mu),$$

up to lattice isomorphism, for some probability space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonian algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonian algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonean algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonean algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonian algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:

- ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
- ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
- ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

- A Stonian algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonean algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonean algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonean algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonean algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonian algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonian algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonian algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonian algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonean algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonean algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

## Another application: f-algebras

- A Riesz algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra** if  $(a \cdot c) \wedge b = (c \cdot a) \wedge b = 0$  for all  $a, b, c \geq 0$  such that  $a \wedge b = 0$ .
- A f-algebra  $E$  is a **Stonian algebra** if it is Dedekind complete and admits a non-zero multiplicative unit  $e$ . The following facts are well known:
  - ◊ The multiplication is commutative;
  - ◊  $x^2 := x \cdot x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ ; in particular,  $e > 0$ , and
  - ◊  $e$  is a weak order unit; in particular,  $(x \wedge ne)_{n \geq 1} \uparrow x$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .
- A Stonian algebra  $E$  is a **f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type** whenever the principal ideal  $E_e$  is an Arens algebra (i.e., a real commutative Banach algebra such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and  $\|a\|^2 \leq \|a^2 + b^2\|$  for all  $a, b \in E_e$ ) and there exists a strictly positive order continuous linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $E_e$  such that the metric  $d_\varphi$  is complete.

### Characterization of f-algebras of $\mathcal{L}^0$ type

Let  $E$  be an Archimedean f-algebra with non-zero multiplicative unit. Then  $E$  is a f-algebra of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  type if and only if  $E$  is lattice and algebra isomorphic onto  $L^0(P)$ , for some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .

THANK YOU!

## References:

- [1] Y. A. Abramovich, C. D. Aliprantis, and W. R. Zame, *A representation theorem for Riesz spaces and its applications to economics*, *Econom. Theory* **5** (1995), no. 3, 527–535.
- [2] S. Cerreia-Vioglio, M. Kupper, F. Maccheroni, M. Marinacci, and N. Vogelpoth, *Conditional  $L_p$ -spaces and the duality of modules over  $f$ -algebras*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **444** (2016), no. 2, 1045–1070.
- [3] S. Kakutani, *Concrete representation of abstract  $(L)$ -spaces and the mean ergodic theorem*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **42** (1941), 523–537.
- [4] J. J. Masterson, *A characterization of the Riesz space of measurable functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (1969), 193–197.