

# Exotic connected components of $SO(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle moduli

Peter Gothen

Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto

Joint with

M. Aparicio Arroyo, S. Bradlow, B. Collier,  
O. García-Prada, and A. Oliveira  
(work in progress)

Hitchin 70: Celebrating 30 years of Higgs bundles and 15 years of  
generalized geometry, ICMAT (Madrid), 12–16 September 2016

# Notation and background

- ▶  $X$  — closed Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$ .
- ▶  $G$  — Connected real reductive Lie group.
- ▶  $H \subset G$  — maximal compact subgroup, Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}.$$

A  $G$ -Higgs bundle is  $(E, \phi)$ , where:

- ▶  $E \rightarrow X$  is a holomorphic principal  $H^{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle;
- ▶  $\phi \in H^0(X, E(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes K)$ . Here  $K = \Omega_X^1$  is the canonical bundle and  $E(\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}) = E \times_{H^{\mathbb{C}}} \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

For  $d \in \pi_1 H$ ,

$$M = M_d(X, G)$$

denotes the moduli space of  $G$ -Higgs bundles  $(E, \phi)$  with topological type  $c(E) = d$ .

# The basic problem

**Question:** What is  $\pi_0(M_d(X, G))$ ?

If  $G$  is compact or complex, the answer is easy to state:

$$\pi_0(M_d(X, G)) = 1.$$

(Ramanathan, Li, García-Prada–Oliveira)

But for general real  $G$  this may **not** be the case. Known examples of disconnectedness of  $M_d(X, G)$  arise in two different ways:

1. Hitchin components for split real  $G$ , and
2. The “*Cayley correspondence*” for maximal  $G$ -Higgs bundles for non-compact hermitian  $G$  of tube type (closely related to rigidity for maximal surface group representations).

## $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles

An  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle  $(E, \phi)$  corresponds to:  $(V, W, \eta)$ , where

$$V, W \rightarrow X$$

are special orthogonal bundles (with quadratic forms  $Q_V$  and  $Q_W$ ) of rank  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively, and

$$\eta: W \rightarrow V \otimes K.$$

The associated  $\mathrm{GL}(p + q, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle is

$$\left( V \oplus W, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta \\ -\eta^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

**Topological type:** determined by

$$(w_2(V), w_2(W)) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

(assuming  $p, q \geq 3$ ).

# Main Theorem

- ▶ Assume that:  $q \geq p + 2$ ,  $p \geq 3$  and  $p$  odd.
- ▶ For  $(a, b) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2) \times (\mathbb{Z}/2)$ , let  $M_{a,b} = M_{a,b}(X, \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q))$ .

## Theorem

*For each  $b = 0, 1$ , the moduli space  $M_{(0,b)}$  has two connected components:*

- 1. A component  $M_{(0,b)}^0$  which consists of those  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles which can be deformed to  $\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ -bundles;*
- 2. An **exotic** component  $M_{(0,b)}^e$ .*

*For each  $b = 0, 1$ , the moduli space  $M_{(1,b)}(X, \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q))$  is connected.*

## Remark

The case of  $p$  even is similar but details have to be double checked.

## Further remarks

- ▶ This result does **not** fall into the previously known types of “extra” connected components already mentioned.
- ▶ The exotic components contain “positive representations” (Anna Wienhard’s talk yesterday).
- ▶ When  $p = 2$ , the group  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$  is of hermitian type. This case was studied by Bradlow–García-Prada–G.
- ▶ The case  $p = 1$  was studied by Aparicio–García-Prada.
- ▶ For  $q = p, p + 1$ , the group  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$  is split real, and so there is a Hitchin component. For  $q = p$ , this is the only extra component. For  $q = p + 1$ , further extra components were found by Collier in his PhD thesis — see the previous talk!

## Strategy of proof

The standard approach is to view the moduli space as the moduli space of solutions to Hitchin's equations and use Hitchin's proper functional:

$$\begin{aligned} f: M_d(X, \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (A, \phi) &\mapsto \|\phi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

If the moduli space is smooth, then  $f$  is a moment map for the  $S^1$ -action and hence a perfect Bott–Morse function.

Even in the singular case, if the subspace of local minima of  $f$  on any subspace  $X \subset M_d$  is connected, then  $X$  itself is connected.

**Problem:** we can distinguish the subspaces of local minima but we do not have a way of distinguishing the subspaces  $M^0$  and  $M^e$  (as with the Cayley correspondence) or a parametrization (as for the Hitchin component).

**Solution:** Following Simpson, we use the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Additionally we need to analyse connecting orbits!

# Projective embedding

Following Simpson, we may assume that

$$M \subset \mathbb{P}(V),$$

where the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action lifts to  $V = \bigoplus V_l$  with

$$t \cdot v = t^l v$$

for  $v \in V_l$ .

## Remark

This actually requires working with the image of  $M$  in the  $\mathrm{GL}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle moduli space.



## Limits and stratifications

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  be projective and write  $X_\lambda$  with  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  for the components of the fixed locus of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.

The limits

$$x_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x \quad \text{and} \quad x_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot x$$

exist and belong to the fixed locus. Moreover, the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -orbit of  $x$  extends to

$$\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X.$$

The image of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is called an *orbit closure*. This gives the Białynicki-Birula stratifications, with strata:

$$\begin{aligned} X_\lambda^+ &= \{x \in X \mid x_0 \in X_\lambda\}, \\ X_\lambda^- &= \{x \in X \mid x_\infty \in X_\lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

## Partial ordering of the fixed loci

A connected component  $X_\lambda$  is *directly less* than a connected component  $X_\mu$  if there exists a non-fixed  $x$  such that

$$x_0 \in X_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad x_\infty \in X_\mu.$$

Let “ $<$ ” denote the partial ordering induced by this relation.

The following result is due to Białynicki-Birula–Sommese (in the setting of normal complex analytic spaces). The proof involves a careful study of degenerations of orbit closures.

### Theorem

*Assume that we have a subset  $\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda$  with the following property:*

- ▶ *If  $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$  and  $X_\lambda < X_\mu$  then  $\mu \in \Lambda_1$ .*

*Then  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} X_\lambda^+$  is closed in  $X$ .*

## Dealing with non-compactness

### Problem:

For the Higgs bundle moduli space  $M$  only  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(E, t\phi)$  exists and there is a Białynicki-Birula stratification only by the  $M_\lambda^+$ . (The  $M_\lambda^-$  give a stratification of the nilpotent cone.)

### Solution:

In order to apply the theory to Higgs bundle moduli we use the compactification of Hausel/Schmitt/Simpson obtained by adding in the missing limits  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty}(E, t\phi)$ , which exist in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ .

The Białynicki-Birula–Sommese Theorem remains valid as stated for  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  as long as  $x_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and there is a proper  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant map:

$$h: X \rightarrow B = \bigoplus B_m,$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on each weight space  $B_m$  with positive weight  $m$ .

## Orbit closures and deformations

Recall that  $V = \bigoplus V_l$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^*$  acts on  $V_l$  with weight  $l$ .

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbb{P}(V_l)$  be fixed. Then there is a weight decomposition

$$T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}(V) = \bigoplus_w T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}(V)_w$$

(in fact  $T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}(V)_w \simeq \bigoplus_{k-l=w} V_k$ ).

Assume that  $l < k$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  be such that  $x_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$  and  $x_\infty \in V_k$ . Then the  $(k-l)$ -jet at 0 of the orbit closure  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  lies in  $T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}(V)_{k-l}$ , and one checks that this gives an identification:

$$\{\text{orbit closures connecting } \mathbb{P}(V_k) \text{ to } x_0\} \simeq T_{x_0}\mathbb{P}(V)_{k-l}. \quad (*)$$

All this restricts to  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  (except that not all infinitesimal deformations at a singular  $x \in X$  are guaranteed to integrate).

# Application to $M = M_d(X, \mathrm{SO}_0(p, q))$

## Strategy:

1. Identify *minimal components* of  $M^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ : those which contain no limits of negative weight orbit closures.
2. Minimal components correspond to local minima of  $f$ . In fact, one may use a lemma of Simpson (instead of the topological argument involving the properness of  $f$ ) to conclude connectedness of the whole space from connectedness of the minimal component.
3. Analyze the graph whose vertices are fixed components of the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action and whose edges correspond to orbit closures connecting these.
4. Show that the components of the graph are indexed by the minimal components, and conclude from Białynicki-Birula–Sommese that the same is true for the components of  $M$ .

## Minimal fixed loci

A  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle  $(V, W, \eta)$  is called *minimal* if it is fixed by  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and there is no  $(V', W', \eta')$ , non-isomorphic to  $(V, W, \eta)$ , and such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (V', W', t\eta') = (V, W, \eta).$$

### Remark

Minimal  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles are exactly those which are local minima of the Hitchin functional  $f$ .

The classification of minimal  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles in  $M^s$  (the smooth locus) was carried out in:

M. Aparacio Arroyo: *The Geometry of  $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)$ -Higgs Bundles*, PhD thesis, Univ. Salamanca, 2009,

and the following was conjectured:

## Minimal fixed loci – 2

Recall that we assume  $q \geq p + 2$ ,  $p \geq 3$  and  $p$  odd.

### Proposition

A polystable  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle  $(V, W, \eta)$  is minimal if and only if either  $\eta = 0$  or  $(V, W, \eta)$  is **exotic**, i.e., of the form

$$K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{p-2} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-p+2} \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1}.$$

$W_0$

Here the maps  $\pm 1$  come from the identification  $K^j \simeq K^{j-1} \otimes K$  and

- ▶ even powers of  $K$  are subbundles of  $V$  and odd powers of  $K$  are subbundles of  $W$ ;
- ▶  $W_0$  is a polystable  $\mathrm{SO}(q - p + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle.

**Important observation:** Both the minimal locus  $\eta = 0$  and the exotic minimal locus are connected.

Consider **exotic** fixed points for  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . By this we mean those of the form:

$$W_{-p} \xrightarrow{\eta_{-p}} K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1} \xrightarrow{-\eta_{-p}^*} W_p$$

$$W_0$$

Here:

- ▶ even powers of  $K$  are subbundles of  $V$  and odd powers of  $K$  are subbundles of  $W$ ;
- ▶  $W_0$  is a polystable  $\mathrm{SO}(q - p - 1, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle;
- ▶  $W_{-p} \simeq W_p^*$  is a line bundle of degree  $d$  with  $0 \leq d \leq p(2g - 2)$ .

### Remark

If  $d = 0$  polystability forces  $\eta_{-p} = 0$  and the fixed point is a (strictly polystable) exotic minimal  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle.



# Main Lemma

For each  $0 \leq d \leq p(2g - 2)$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_d \subset M$  the locus of exotic fixed points with  $\deg(W_{-p}) = d$ .

**Observation:**  $\mathcal{F}_d$  is connected.

## Lemma

1. *Let  $x \in M$  be such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot x$  is exotic. Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$  is exotic.*
2. *Let  $x \in M$  be such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$  is exotic. If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cdot x$  exists, then it is exotic.*

## Proof of the theorem

Denote by  $\{M_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  the connected components of the fixed locus  $M^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ .

- ▶ Let  $\Lambda_e \subset \Lambda$  consist of those  $\lambda$  for which  $M_\lambda$  is exotic (i.e.,  $M_\lambda = \mathcal{F}_d$  for some  $d$ ).
- ▶ Let  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_e$ .

Then the Main Lemma implies that both  $\Lambda_e$  and  $\Lambda_0$  satisfy the hypothesis of the Białynicki-Birula–Sommese Theorem. Let

$$M^0 = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0} M_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad M^e = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_e} M_\lambda.$$

We conclude that  $M = M^0 \cup M^e$  is a decomposition of  $M$  into disjoint closed non-empty connected subspaces. □

# Infinitesimal deformations and Hodge bundles

The infinitesimal deformation space of  $(V, W, \eta)$  is  $\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet(V, W, \eta))$ , where

$$C^\bullet(V, W, \eta): \Lambda^2 V \oplus \Lambda^2 W \xrightarrow{\text{ad}(\eta)} \text{Hom}(W, V) \otimes K$$

with  $\text{ad}(\eta)(a, b) = \eta a - b\eta$ .

A  $\text{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle  $(V, W, \eta)$  is fixed under  $\mathbb{C}^*$  if and only if it is a **Hodge bundle**:

$$V = \bigoplus_r V_r, \quad W = \bigoplus_s W_s, \quad \eta: W_s \rightarrow V_{s+1} \otimes K.$$

In this case  $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(V, W, \eta)$  decomposes accordingly as  $C^\bullet = \bigoplus_w C_w^\bullet$  and

$$\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet)_w = \mathbb{H}^1(C_{-w}^\bullet).$$

## Strategy of proof

Let  $(V, W, \eta)$  be an exotic fixed point. In view of the correspondence (\*) between orbit closures and infinitesimal deformations, the following steps will provide the proof of the lemma:

### Downward deformations:

1. for each negative direction in  $\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet(V, W, \eta))$  identify an orbit  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot x$  with  $x_\infty = (V, W, \eta)$ ;
2. find  $x_0$  and show that it is exotic.

### Upward deformations:

1. for each positive direction in  $\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet(V, W, \eta))$  identify an orbit  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot x$  with  $x_0 = (V, W, \eta)$ ;
2. find  $x_\infty$  **if it exists** and show that it is exotic.

# Infinitesimal downward deformations

Recall that exotic fixed points are  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles of the form

$$W_{-p} \xrightarrow{\eta_{-p}} K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1} \xrightarrow{-\eta_{-p}^*} W_p$$

$$W_0$$

## Proposition

*Let  $(V, W, \eta)$  be an exotic fixed point. Then*

$$\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet(V, W, \eta))_{<0} = \mathbb{H}^1(C_p^\bullet) \simeq H^1(\mathrm{Hom}(W_0, W_p)).$$

## Proof.

Easy check using the particular shape of  $(V, W, \eta)$ .



## Integrating downward deformations

Let  $(V, W, \eta)$  be an exotic fixed point and let  $s \in H^1(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_p))$ . Then  $s$  defines a deformation  $W_s$  of  $W_{-p} \oplus W \oplus W_p$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & W_p & \longrightarrow & W'_s & \longrightarrow & W_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & W_s & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & W_{-p} & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0. & & 
 \end{array}$$

## Integrating downward deformations – 2

Defining  $\eta_s$  in the obvious way gives a deformation  $(V, W_s, \eta_s)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 K^{p-1} & \xrightarrow{-1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{-1} & K & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{-1} & K^{-1} & \xrightarrow{1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{1} & K^{-p+1}. \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & W_s & \\
 & \nwarrow \tilde{\eta}_s & & & & & & & & & & & \nearrow -\tilde{\eta}_s^*
 \end{array}$$

### Proposition

The  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle  $(V, W_s, \eta_s)$  is polystable. Moreover,

- ▶  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (V, W_s, t\eta_s) = (V, W, \eta)$ , and
- ▶ the  $p$ -jet at  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$  of its orbit closure is  $s \in H^1(\mathrm{Hom}(W_0, W_p)) = \mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet(V, W, \eta))_{-p}$ .

# The downward limit of a downward deformation

What is  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(V, W_s, t\eta_s)$ ?

If  $W_s$  is polystable, the limit is simply the exotic minimal  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle

$$K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{p-2} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-p+2} \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1}.$$

$W_s$

Otherwise, we have the following:

## Proposition

*The maximal destabilizing  $F \subset W_s$  is an isotropic line bundle with  $0 < \deg(F) < \deg(W_{-p})$ .*



## The downward limit of a downward deformation – 2

Define an  $\mathrm{SO}(q - p + 1, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $W'_0$  and a map  $\alpha: F \rightarrow K^{p-1} \otimes K$  by:

$$0 \rightarrow F^\perp \rightarrow W_s \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F^\perp \rightarrow W'_0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\alpha := \tilde{\eta}_s|_F.$$

### Proposition

*If  $W_s$  is unstable, the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0}(V, W_s, t\eta_s)$  is the exotic fixed point*

$$F \xrightarrow{\alpha} K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1} \xrightarrow{-\alpha^*} F^{-1}.$$

$$W'_0$$

## Upward deformations

The analysis is similar in spirit but complicated by the fact that we must distinguish orbits which do not have a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and orbits in the nilpotent cone.

### Proposition

*Let  $(V, W, \eta)$  be an exotic fixed point. Then the infinitesimal deformation corresponding to an orbit in the nilpotent cone lies in  $\mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet_{-p}) = \mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet)_p$ .*

Any non-zero  $s \in \mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet)_p$  integrates to an  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundle of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 K^{p-1} & \xrightarrow{-1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{-1} & K^{-1} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{-1} & K & \xrightarrow{1} & \dots & \xrightarrow{1} & K^{-p+1} \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & \nwarrow \tilde{\eta}_s^* & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & W_s & \\
 & \nwarrow \tilde{\eta}_s & & & & & & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

(This is harder to show than the corresponding result for downwards deformations.)

## Distinguishing nilpotent orbits

Let  $(V, W_s, \eta_s)$  be the deformation constructed from a non-zero  $s \in \mathbb{H}^1(C^\bullet)_p$  in the previous slide. Define a subbundle  $N \subset W_s$  by

$$N = \ker(\tilde{\eta}_s) \subset W_s.$$

### Proposition

*The orbit of  $(V, W_s, \eta_s)$  is contained in the nilpotent cone if and only if  $N \subset W_s$  is co-isotropic.*

Moreover, we have the following:

### Proposition

*The line bundle  $F = W_s/N = (N^\perp)^*$  satisfies  $\deg(W_{-p}) < \deg(F) \leq p(2g - 2)$ .*

## The upward limit of an upward deformation

Since  $N = \ker(\tilde{\eta}_s)$ , there is an induced map  $\gamma: F \rightarrow K^{p-1} \otimes K$ .

Moreover, we have an  $\mathrm{SO}(q-p-1, \mathbb{C})$ -bundle  $W'_0 = N/N^\perp$ .

### Proposition

*When the orbit of  $(V, W_s, \eta_s)$  is contained in the nilpotent cone, its limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is the exotic fixed point*

$$F \xrightarrow{\gamma} K^{p-1} \xrightarrow{-1} \dots \xrightarrow{-1} K \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{-1} K^{-1} \xrightarrow{1} \dots \xrightarrow{1} K^{-p+1} \xrightarrow{-\gamma^*} F^{-1}.$$

$W'_0$

**Note:** Upward deformations from exotic minimal  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles require separate but similar treatment.

**Final Remark:** Our analysis gives a fairly explicit description of all exotic  $\mathrm{SO}_0(p, q)$ -Higgs bundles.

# Happy Birthday Nigel!