Quasi Riesz transforms, Hardy spaces and generalised sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates

Li CHEN

ICMAT

Workshop on Harmonic Analysis, Partial Differential Equations and Geometric Measure Theory, January 12 - 16, 2015

January 15, 2015

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Introduction

2 Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds

- Quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$
- \bullet Remarks on Riesz transforms for p>2

3 Hardy spaces associated with operators

- Backgrounds
- Definitions
- Results

Current Section

Introduction

Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds

- Quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$
- \bullet Remarks on Riesz transforms for p>2

B Hardy spaces associated with operators

- Backgrounds
- Definitions
- Results

Two main topics:

- Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds
- Hardy spaces on metric measure spaces

Assumptions: Volume growth, heat kernel estimates **The doubling volume property**: (M, d, μ) : a metric measure space. Set $V(x, r) = \mu(B(x, r))$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$V(x,2r) \le CV(x,r), \, \forall x \in M, r > 0. \tag{D}$$

A simple consequence of (D):

$$\frac{V(x,r)}{V(x,s)} \le C\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\nu}, \; \forall x \in M, \, r \ge s > 0.$$

If M is non-compact, we also have a reverse inequality.

Current Section

Introduction

2 Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds

- Quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$
- \bullet Remarks on Riesz transforms for p>2

B Hardy spaces associated with operators

- Backgrounds
- Definitions
- Results

Background

Strichartz (1983): For which kind of non-compact Riemannian manifold M and for which $p \in (1, \infty)$, the two semi-norms $\||\nabla f|\|_p$ and $\|\Delta^{1/2} f\|_p$ are equivalent, $\forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$?

• The Riesz transform $\nabla \Delta^{-1/2}$ is L^p bounded on M if

$$\||\nabla f|\|_p \le C \|\Delta^{1/2} f\|_p, \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(M).$$
 (R_p)

• The reverse Riesz transform is L^p bounded on M if

$$\|\Delta^{1/2}f\|_p \le C \|\nabla f\|_p, \,\forall f \in C_0^\infty(M).$$

$$(RR_p)$$

By duality, we have $(R_p) \Rightarrow (RR_{p'})$, where p' is the conjugate of p. Well-known results: On \mathbb{R}^n , Riemannian manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature, Lie groups with polynomial growth etc, Riesz transforms are L^p bounded for 1 . Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds

Gaussian heat kernel estimates on Riemannian manifolds

 (M,d,μ) : a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. $(e^{-t\Delta})_{t>0}$: heat semigroup; $p_t(x,y)$: the heat kernel. Most familiar heat kernel estimates:

• On-diagonal upper estimate

$$p_t(x,x) \le \frac{C}{V(x,\sqrt{t})}, \forall x \in M, t > 0.$$
 (DUE)

• Off-diagonal upper estimate:

$$p_t(x,y) \le \frac{C}{V(x,\sqrt{t})} \exp\left(-c\frac{d^2(x,y)}{t}\right), \forall x,y \in M, t > 0. \quad (UE)$$

Gradient upper estimate:

$$|\nabla p_t(x,y)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}V(y,\sqrt{t})}, \forall x \in M, t > 0.$$
 (G)

Gaussian heat kernel estimates and Riesz transforms

Theorem (Coulhon-Duong 99)

Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold satisfying (D) and (DUE). Then the Riesz transform $\nabla \Delta^{-1/2}$ is of weak type (1,1) and thus L^p bounded for 1 .

Remark: Under the same assumptions, (R_p) may not hold for p > 2. For example: on the connected sum of \mathbb{R}^n (consisting of two copies of $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{B(0,1)\}, n \ge 2$), the Riesz transform is L^p bounded for $1 , but not <math>L^p$ bounded for $p \ge n$, see [Coulhon-Duong 99], [Carron-Coulhon-Hassell 06].

Theorem (Auscher-Coulhon-Duong-Hofmann 04, Coulhon-Sikora 10)

Let M be a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold satisfying (D) and (G). Then (R_p) and (RR_p) hold for all 1 .

Questions

It is not known whether the two conditions (D) and (DUE) are necessary for the L^p (1 boundedness of the Riesz transform. The are two natural questions:

On the second terms of the two conditions?

Can we replace the Gaussian heat kernel estimate by some other natural heat kernel estimates?
 For example, on manifolds satisfying (D) and sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates, are the Riesz transforms L^p bounded for 1

Localisation of the Riesz transform

The Riesz transform $\nabla\Delta^{-1/2}$ is L^p bounded on M if and only if the local Riesz transform $\nabla(I+\Delta)^{-1/2}$ and the Riesz transform at infinity $\nabla e^{-\Delta}\Delta^{-1/2}$ are L^p bounded.

[Coulhon-Duong 99]: Under local doubling property and local Gaussian heat kernel upper bound (very weak), the local Riesz transform is L^p bounded for 1 . $Quasi Riesz transforms: <math>\nabla (I + \Delta)^{-1/2} + \nabla e^{-\Delta} \Delta^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$.

Quasi Riesz transforms on general Riemannian manifolds

Proposition

Let M be a complete manifold. Then, for any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, the operator $\nabla e^{-\Delta} \Delta^{-\alpha}$ is bounded on L^p for all 1 .

The proof easily follows from the fact below:

Proposition

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold. Then for 1 , we have

$$\||\nabla e^{-t\Delta}|\|_{p\to p} \le Ct^{-1/2}.$$
 (G_p)

Note that (G_p) is also equivalent to the multiplicative inequality

 $\||\nabla f|\|_p^2 \leq C \|f\|_p \|\Delta f\|_p,$

see [Coulhon-Duong 03, Coulhon-Sikora 10]. A simple proof: using Stein's approach to show the L^p boundedness of the Littlewood-Paley-Stein function.

Sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates

Let m > 2. Sub-Gaussian heat kernel upper estimate on a Riemannian manifold:

$$p_t(x,y) \le \frac{C}{V(x,\rho^{-1}(t))} \exp\left(-cG(d(x,y),t)\right),$$
 (UE_{2,m})

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where
$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} t^2, & 0 < t < 1, \\ t^m, & t \ge 1; \end{cases}$$
 and $G(r,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2}{t}, & t \le r, \\ \left(\frac{r^m}{t}\right)^{1/(m-1)}, & t \ge r. \end{cases}$

Examples: fractal manifolds.

Construction of Vicsek manifolds from Vicsek graphs: replacing the edges with tubes, and gluing them smoothly at the vertices.

A typical examples



Figure: A fragment of the Vicsek graph in \mathbb{R}^2

Generally in \mathbb{R}^n , let $D = \log_3(2^n + 1)$. The Vicsek manifold satisfies $\mu(B(x, r)) \simeq r^D$ and $(UE_{2,m})$ with m = D + 1.

Comparing Sub-Gaussian and Gaussian heat kernel estimates

The Gaussian heat kernel upper bound coincides with $(UE_{2,2})$. Let m > 2. For t > 1,

$$V(x, t^{1/2}) > V(x, t^{1/m}).$$

That means $p_t(x,x)$ decays with t more slowly in the sub-Gaussian case than in the Gaussian case.

For $t \ge \max\{1, d(x, y)\}$,

$$\left(\frac{d^m(x,y)}{t}\right)^{1/(m-1)} \geq \frac{d^2(x,y)}{t},$$

then $p_t(x,y)$ decays with d(x,y) faster in the sub-Gaussian case than in the Gaussian case.

But on the whole, the two kinds of pointwise estimates are incomparable.

Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds Quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$

Sub-Gaussian heat kernel upper estimates and quasi Riesz transforms

Theorem

Let M be a complete manifold satisfying (D) and $(UE_{2,m})$, then the quasi Riesz transform $\nabla e^{-\Delta}\Delta^{-\alpha} + \nabla (I + \Delta)^{-1/2}$ is weak (1, 1) bounded and L^p bounded for 1 .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Proof: the Calderón-Zygmund theory, the weighted estimate for the gradient of the heat kernel, similarly as in [Coulhon-Duong 99].

Counterexample for p > 2

For p > 2, the Riesz transform is not L^p bounded on Vicsek manifolds.

Proposition

Let M be a Vicsek manifolds, then the Riesz transform is not L^p bounded for p > 2.

This is an improvement of the result in [Coulhon-Duong 03], where (RR_p) was shown to be false for 1 .**Idea of the Proof:** $show that <math>(RR_p)$ is not true for 1 . $Take <math>D' = \frac{2D}{D+1}$. If (RR_p) holds, the heat kernel estimate $p_t(x,x) \leq Ct^{-\frac{D}{D+1}}$ $(t \geq 1)$ implies that (see [Coulhon 92]) for all $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ such that $\|f\|_p / \|f\|_1 \leq 1$,

$$\|f\|_{p}^{1+\frac{p}{(p-1)D'}} \leq C\|f\|_{1}^{\frac{p}{(p-1)D'}} \|\Delta^{1/2}f\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{1}^{\frac{p}{(p-1)D'}} \||\nabla f|\|_{p}.$$

Choose $\{F_n\}$ to contradict the above inequality.

Construction for $\{F_n\}$

[Barlow-Coulhon-Grigor'yan 2001]: Let $\Omega_n = \Gamma \bigcap [0, 3^n]^N$ and $q = 2^N + 1 = 3^D$. Denote by z_0 the centre of Ω_n and by $z_i, i \ge 1$ its corners. Define F_n as follows: $F_n(z_0) = 1, F_n(z_i) = 0, i \ge 1$, and extend F_n as a harmonic function in the rest of Ω_n . If z belongs to some γ_{z_0,z_i} , then $F_n(z) = 3^{-n}d(z_i, z)$. If not, then $F_n(z) = F_n(z')$, where z' is the nearest vertex in certain line of z_0 and z_i .



Figure: The function F_2

Current Section

Introduction

Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds

- Quasi Riesz transforms for $1 \le p \le 2$
- \bullet Remarks on Riesz transforms for p>2

3 Hardy spaces associated with operators

- Backgrounds
- Definitions
- Results

Hardy spaces associated with the Laplacian

References:

[Auscher-McIntosh-Russ 08]: Hardy spaces of differential forms of all degrees on complete Riemannian manifolds satisfying the doubling volume property.

[Hofmann-Lu-Mitrea-Mitrea-Yan 11]: metric measure space with doubling measure, and with a non-negative self-adjoint operator satisfying the Davies-Gaffney estimate:

$$|\langle e^{-tL}f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(U_1, U_2)}{ct}\right) ||f_1||_2 ||f_2||_2, \ \forall t > 0,$$

[Uhl 11]: metric measure space with doubling measure, and with an injective non-negative self-adjoint operator satisfying the generalised Davies-Gaffney estimate.

$L^{p_0} - L^{p_0'}$ off-diagonal heat kernel estimates on metric measure spaces

Let $1 \leq p_0 < 2$. Let $\beta_1 < \beta_2$. We say that M satisfies the generalised $L^{p_0} - L^{p'_0}$ off-diagonal estimate if for $x, y \in M$ and t > 0,

$$\begin{split} &\|\mathbb{1}_{B(x,t)}e^{-\rho(t)L}\mathbb{1}_{B(y,t)}\|_{p_{0}\to p_{0}'} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{V^{\frac{1}{p_{0}}-\frac{1}{p_{0}'}}(x,t)} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}-1}}\right) & 0 < t < 1, \\ \frac{C}{V^{\frac{1}{p_{0}}-\frac{1}{p_{0}'}}(x,t)} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}-1}}\right), & t \ge 1, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} t^{\beta_1}, & 0 < t < 1, \\ t^{\beta_2}, & t \ge 1; \end{cases}$$

Backgrounds

Some consequences

For $p_0 = 2$,

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{B(x,t)}e^{-\rho(t)L}\mathbb{1}_{B(y,t)}\|_{2\to 2} \le \begin{cases} C\exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1-1}}\right) & 0 < t < 1, \\ C\exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2-1}}\right), & t \ge 1, \\ (DG_{\beta_1,\beta_2}) \end{cases}$$

For $p_0 = 1$,

$$p_{\rho(t)}(x,y) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{V(x,t)} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_1-1}}\right) & 0 < t < 1, \\ \frac{C}{V(x,t)} \exp\left(-c\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{t}\right)^{\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_2-1}}\right), & t \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

$$(UE_{\beta_1,\beta_2})$$

 $(UE_{\beta_1,\beta_2}) \Rightarrow (DG_{\beta_1,\beta_2}^{p_0,p'_0}) \Rightarrow (DG_{\beta_1,\beta_2}).$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- Euclidean spaces with higher order divergence form operators;
- Some fractals. For example, Sierpinski carpets, Sierpinski gaskets, Vicsek sets etc.
- Riemannian manifolds. For any $D \ge 1$ and any $2 \le m \le D+1$, there exists Riemannian manifold satisfying the polynomial volume growth $V(x,r) \simeq r^D, r \ge 1$, and $(UE_{2,m})$.

Outline

- Define Hardy spaces via molecules $H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M)$ and via square functions $H^p_{L,S^\rho_h}(M)$ which are adapted to the heat kernel estimate.
- **②** The two H^1 spaces defined via molecules and via square function are the same: $H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M) = H^1_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M)$.
- (a) The comparison between Hardy spaces $H^p_{L,S^\rho_h}(M),\, H^p_{L,S_h}(M)$ and $L^p(M).$
- Application: the H¹ L¹ boundedness of (quasi) Riesz transforms on Riemannian manifolds with sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates.

Definitions

Hardy spaces defined via molecules

Let M be a metric measure space satisfying (D) and (DG_{β_1,β_2}) .

Definition

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $K > \frac{\nu}{2\beta_1}$. A function $a \in L^2(M)$ is called a $(1,2,\varepsilon)$ -molecule associated to L if there exist a function $b \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and a ball B with radius r_B such that

$$\bullet a = L^K b$$

2 It holds for every $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ and $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\|(\rho(r_B)L)^k b\|_{L^2(C_i(B))} \le \rho(r_B)2^{-i\varepsilon}V(2^iB)^{-1/2},$$

where $C_0(B) = B$, and $C_i(B) = 2^i B \setminus 2^{i-1} B$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Hardy spaces defined via molecules

Definition

We say that $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n$ is a molecular $(1, 2, \varepsilon)$ -representation of f if $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in l^1$, each a_n is a molecule, and the sum converges in the L^2 sense. We denote by $\mathbb{H}^1_{L,\rho,mol}$ the collection of all the functions with a molecular representation, where the norm of $\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L,a,mol}(M)}$ is given by

$$\inf \Big\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| : f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n a_n \text{ is a molecular } (1, 2, \varepsilon) - \text{representation} \Big\}.$$

The Hardy space $H^1_{L,a.mol}(M)$ is defined as the completion of $\mathbb{H}^1_{L, a \ mol}(M)$ with respect to this norm.

Hardy spaces defined via square functions

Consider the quadratic operator associated with the heat kernel defined by the following conical square function

$$S_{h}^{\rho}f(x) = \Big(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |\rho(t)Le^{-\rho(t)L}f(y)|^{2} \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t}\Big)^{1/2},$$

where the cone $\Gamma(x) = \{(y,t) \in M \times (0,\infty): d(y,x) < t\}.$

Definition

The Hardy space $H_{L,S_h^{\rho}}^p(M)$, $p \ge 1$ is defined as the completion of the set $\{f \in \overline{R(L)} : \|S_h^{\rho}f\|_{L^p} < \infty\}$ with respect to the norm $\|S_h^{\rho}f\|_{L^p}$. The $H_{L,S_h^{\rho}}^p(M)$ norm is defined by $\|f\|_{H_{L,S_h^{\rho}}^p(M)} := \|S_h^{\rho}f\|_{L^p(M)}$.

Hardy spaces associated with operators

Results

 $H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M) = H^1_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M)$

Theorem

Let M be a metric measure space satisfying the doubling volume property (D) and the heat kernel estimate (DG_{β_1,β_2}) , $\beta_1 \leq \beta_2$. Then $H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M) = H^1_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M)$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M)} \simeq \|f\|_{H^1_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M)}$.

Comparison of H^p and L^p

Theorem

Let M be a non-compact metric measure space satisfying the doubling volume property (D) and the heat kernel estimate $(DG_{\beta_1,\beta_2}^{p_0,p'_0})$. Then $H^p_{L,S^p_h}(M) = \overline{R(L) \cap L^p(M)}^{L^p(M)}$ for $p_0 .$

Show that the adapted conical square functions is weak L^{p_0} bounded. The tools include the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, functional calculus, and the $L^p - L^q$ theory for operators.

Corollary

Let M be a non-compact metric measure space satisfying the doubling volume property (D) and the following pointwise heat kernel estimate (UE_{β_1,β_2}) . Then $H^1_{L,\rho,mol}(M) = H^1_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M)$, and $H^p_{L,S^{\rho}_h}(M) = L^p(M)$ for 1 .

Results

Theorem

 $L^p(M) \neq H^p_{\Lambda S_1}(M)$

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with polynomial volume growth $V(x,r) \simeq r^d$, $r \ge 1$, as well as two-sided sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimate ($HK_{2,m}$) with 2 < m < d/2, that is, ($UE_{2,m}$) and the matching lower bound. Then $L^p(M) \subset H^p_{\Delta,S_h}(M)$ doesn't hold for $p \in \left(\frac{d}{d-m}, 2\right)$.

Remark 1 Vicsek manifolds satisfy $(HK_{2,m})$ with m = d + 1. **Remark 2** The Hardy space $H^p_{\Delta,S_h}(M)$ is defined via

$$S_h f(x) = \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} |t^2 L e^{-t^2 L} f(y)|^2 \frac{d\mu(y)}{V(x,t)} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/2}$$

Idea for the proof: Using obolev inequality, Green operator and the lower estimate of the heat kernel to prove by contradiction.

Results

Application

Theorem

Let M be a manifold satisfying the doubling volume property (D) and the heat kernel estimate $(UE_{2,m})$, m > 2. Then for any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, the operator $\nabla e^{-\Delta} \Delta^{-\alpha}$ is $H^1_{\Delta,m} - L^1$ bounded.

We can recover again the L^p boundedness of quasi Riesz transforms from the complex interpolation theorem over Hardy spaces $H^p_{\Delta,S^m_\iota}(M)$

Thanks for your attention!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>