

The Kato estimate for weighted parabolic operators



Research Term on Real Harmonic Analysis and its Applications to Partial
Differential Equations and Geometric Measure Theory
ICMAT. Madrid, Spain. May 7 - June 8, 2018

Cristian Rios

University of Calgary

May 16, 2018



The Kato estimate for weighted parabolic operators

Work in progress, in collaboration with

Pascal Auscher	-	University of Paris-Sud
Moritz Egert	-	University of Paris-Sud
Kaj Nyström	-	Uppsala University

We consider parabolic operators in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} = (\mathbb{R}^n)_x \times \mathbb{R}_t = \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t$:

$$\mathcal{L}_w u = \partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u = \partial_t u - \frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_x w(x) A(x, t) \nabla_x u.$$

Where $A(x, t)$ is an $n \times n$ elliptic matrix with complex coefficients in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}

$$\kappa |\xi|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} (A(x, t) \xi, \bar{\xi}), \quad |A(x, t) \xi \cdot \xi| \leq C |\xi| |\xi|, \quad \text{for some } \kappa, C > 0,$$

and w is a weight in the Muckenhoupt class $A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$[w]_{A_2} = \sup_B \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w \, dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{-1} \, dx \right) < \infty,$$

where the sup is taken over all balls B in \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $V = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and define the sesquilinear form in V :

$$\mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \mathbf{A}(x, t) \nabla_x u(x, t) \cdot \overline{\nabla_x v(x, t)} + H_t D_t^{1/2} u \cdot \overline{D_t^{1/2} v} w(x) dx dt$$

The **parabolic operator** $\mathcal{L}_w = \partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w$ can be defined as an operator $V \rightarrow V^*$ via this **accretive** sesquilinear form.

$$\mathcal{L}_w u = f \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} f(x, t) \overline{v(x, t)} w(x) dx dt.$$

- $D_t^{1/2}$ be the half-order derivative

$$D_t^{1/2} v(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v(t) - v(s)}{|t - s|^{3/2}} ds.$$

- H_t the Hilbert transform with respect to the t variable, so that

$$\partial_t = D_t^{1/2} H_t D_t^{1/2};$$

$$H_t D_t^{1/2} v(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\text{sign}(t - s) (v(t) - v(s))}{|t - s|^{3/2}} ds.$$

Let $V = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and define the sesquilinear form in V :

$$\mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \mathbf{A}(x, t) \nabla_x u(x, t) \cdot \overline{\nabla_x v(x, t)} + H_t D_t^{1/2} u \cdot \overline{D_t^{1/2} v} w(x) dx dt$$

The **parabolic operator** $\mathcal{L}_w = \partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w$ can be defined as an operator $V \rightarrow V^*$ via this **accretive** sesquilinear form.

$$\mathcal{L}_w u = f \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} f(x, t) \overline{v(x, t)} w(x) dx dt.$$

- $D_t^{1/2}$ be the half-order derivative

$$D_t^{1/2} v(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v(t) - v(s)}{|t - s|^{3/2}} ds.$$

- H_t the Hilbert transform with respect to the t variable, so that

$$\partial_t = D_t^{1/2} H_t D_t^{1/2};$$

$$H_t D_t^{1/2} v(t) = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\text{sign}(t - s) (v(t) - v(s))}{|t - s|^{3/2}} ds.$$

In the past few years there has been a *re-discovery* of a powerful technique to treat parabolic operators as m -accretive operators via a (non-local) accretive form.

- 1966 - **Kaplan**. Abstract boundary value problems for linear parabolic equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3).
- 1996 - **Hofmann** and **Lewis**. L^2 solvability and representation by caloric layer potentials in time-varying domains. Ann. of Math. (2).
- 2016 - **Castro**, **Nyström**, and **Sande**. Boundedness of single layer potentials associated to divergence form parabolic equations with complex coefficients. Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.
- 2016 - **Nyström**. Square functions estimates and the Kato problem for second order parabolic operators in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Adv. Math.
- 2017 - **Auscher**, **Egert**, **Nyström**. L^2 Well-posedness of BVP for parabolic systems with measurable coefficients.

Theorem (Parabolic Kato estimate)

The operator $\mathcal{L}_w = \partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w = \partial_t - \frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_x w(x) A(x, t) \nabla_x$ arises from the accretive form $a_w(u, v)$, it is **maximal accretive** in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, dw dt)$, the domain of its square root is that of the accretive form, that is,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w}) = H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, W_w^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Denote $\|f\|_w = \langle f, f \rangle_w^{1/2} = \left(\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} |f(x, t)|^2 w(x) dx dt \right)^{1/2}$. The estimates

$$\left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} u \right\|_w \approx \left\| \nabla_x u \right\|_w + \left\| D_t^{1/2} u \right\|_w$$

hold with constants depending only on n and the ellipticity constants of A . Here

$$\|f\|_w = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x, t)|^2 w(x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The elliptic operator \mathfrak{L}_w in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\mathfrak{L}_w u = -\frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_x w(x) A(x) \nabla_x u$$

Is determined by the sesquilinear form

$$a_w(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x) \nabla u \cdot \overline{\nabla v} w(x) dx,$$

with domain $H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\nabla f| \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \subset L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- The operator \mathfrak{L}_w is ω -sectorial, $\omega = \omega(\lambda, \Lambda)$, and therefore it is m -accretive in $L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$: we have $\left\| (1 + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{-1} \right\|_{B(L_w^2)} \leq 1$, and

$$\sup_{z \in \Sigma_\theta} \left\| z(z + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{-1} \right\|_{B(L_w^2)} \leq M_\theta, \quad \theta \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi - \omega \right).$$

The elliptic operator \mathfrak{L}_w in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\mathfrak{L}_w u = -\frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_x w(x) A(x) \nabla_x u$$

Is determined by the sesquilinear form

$$a_w(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x) \nabla u \cdot \overline{\nabla v} w(x) dx,$$

with domain $H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\nabla f| \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \subset L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- The operator \mathfrak{L}_w is ω -sectorial, $\omega = \omega(\lambda, \Lambda)$, and therefore it is m -accretive in $L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$: we have $\left\| (1 + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{-1} \right\|_{B(L_w^2)} \leq 1$, and

$$\sup_{z \in \Sigma_\theta} \left\| z(z + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{-1} \right\|_{B(L_w^2)} \leq M_\theta, \quad \theta \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi - \omega \right).$$

Theorem (CU-R'15)

The operator $\mathfrak{L}_w = \mathfrak{L}_w = -\frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_x w(x) A(x, t) \nabla_x$ arises from the accretive form

$$a_w(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} A(x, t) \nabla_x u(x, t) \cdot \overline{\nabla_x v(x, t)} w(x) dx,$$

it is maximal accretive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dw)$, the domain of its square root is that of the accretive form, that is,

$$\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{\mathfrak{L}_w}) = H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ f \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : |\nabla f| \in L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}.$$

For $\|f\|_w = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{1/2}$. The estimates

$$\left\| \sqrt{\mathfrak{L}_w} u \right\|_w \approx \left\| \nabla_x u \right\|_w$$

hold with constants depending only on n and the ellipticity constants of A .

Theorem (Parabolic Kato estimate for time independent coefficients)

Let $A(x)$ be a complex valued $n \times n$ elliptic matrix in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.

$$\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq \operatorname{Re} \langle A(x) \xi, \xi \rangle, \quad |\langle A(x) \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \Lambda |\xi| |\eta| \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Let w be an A_2 weight in \mathbb{R}^n , and let

$$\mathcal{L}_w = \partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w = \partial_t - \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div} w A(x) \nabla \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

then the square root operator $\mathcal{L}_w^{1/2}$ satisfies the estimates

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_w^{1/2} u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \left\| D_t^{1/2} u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| \nabla_x u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}$$

for all $u \in V = H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; H_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where the constants only depend on n , λ , Λ , and $[w]_{A_2}$. Here

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{w}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x, t)|^2 w(x) dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_w = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathfrak{L}_w$ is defined via the **sesquilinear form**,

$$\mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle H_t D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} u, D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} v \right\rangle_w + \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_w dt.$$

The domain $\dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w) \subset \dot{V} \subset L^2_{\mathbf{w}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is dense in $L^2_{\mathbf{w}}$, where

$$\dot{V} = \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

If $u \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w)$, we say that

$$f := \mathcal{L}_w u \in L^2_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } v \in (\dot{V})^*.$$

Using that $D_t^{1/2}$ commutes with \mathfrak{L}_w and an approximation argument, we obtain

$$\left\| D_t^{1/2} u \right\|_{\dot{E}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_w).$$

In particular,

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_w).$$

The operator $\mathcal{L}_w = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathfrak{L}_w$ is defined via the **sesquilinear form**,

$$\mathfrak{a}_w(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle H_t D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} u, D_t^{\frac{1}{2}} v \right\rangle_w + \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle_w dt.$$

The domain $\dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w) \subset \dot{V} \subset L^2_{\mathbf{w}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is dense in $L^2_{\mathbf{w}}$, where

$$\dot{V} = \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}^1_w(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

If $u \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w)$, we say that

$$f := \mathcal{L}_w u \in L^2_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{w}}(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } v \in (\dot{V})^*.$$

Using that $D_t^{1/2}$ **commutes with** \mathfrak{L}_w and an approximation argument, we obtain

$$\left\| D_t^{1/2} u \right\|_{\dot{E}} \lesssim \left\| \mathcal{L}_w u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_w).$$

In particular,

$$\left\| \partial_t u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \left\| \partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_w).$$

Let φ be a radial mollifier, write $f_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon * f$. Given $u \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ and $v \in \dot{V}$, let $f = \mathcal{L}_w u$, we have

$$a_w(u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u, v_\varepsilon) = \langle f, v_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle f_\varepsilon, v \rangle,$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w u_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$. In particular $u_\varepsilon \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w) \cap C^\infty$.

Let now $v \in \dot{V} \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $D_t^{1/2} v \in \dot{V}$, and so

$$a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v) = \langle f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v \rangle_w = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, v \rangle_w,$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon = D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon$, and $D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon \in (\dot{V})^*$ with $\|D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon\|_{V^*} \lesssim \|f\|_w$. In particular, taking $v = D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon$

$$\|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}^2 = a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon) = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon \rangle_w \lesssim \|f_\varepsilon\|_w \|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\|\partial_t u\|_w \leq \|D_t^{1/2} u\|_V \lesssim \|\mathcal{L} u\|_w = \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|.$$

Let φ be a radial mollifier, write $f_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon * f$. Given $u \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ and $v \in \dot{V}$, let $f = \mathcal{L}_w u$, we have

$$a_w(u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u, v_\varepsilon) = \langle f, v_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle f_\varepsilon, v \rangle,$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w u_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$. In particular $u_\varepsilon \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w) \cap C^\infty$.

Let now $v \in \dot{V} \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $D_t^{1/2} v \in \dot{V}$, and so

$$a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v) = \langle f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}},$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon = D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon$, and $D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon \in (\dot{V})^*$ with $\|D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon\|_{V^*} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}$. In particular, taking $v = D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon$

$$\|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}^2 = a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon) = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \|f_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{w}} \|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|D_t^{1/2} u\|_{\dot{V}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L} u\|_{\mathbf{w}} = \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|.$$

Let φ be a radial mollifier, write $f_\varepsilon = \varphi_\varepsilon * f$. Given $u \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w)$ and $v \in \dot{V}$, let $f = \mathcal{L}_w u$, we have

$$a_w(u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u, v_\varepsilon) = \langle f, v_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle f_\varepsilon, v \rangle,$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w u_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon$. In particular $u_\varepsilon \in \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{L}_w) \cap C^\infty$.

Let now $v \in \dot{V} \cap \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, then $D_t^{1/2} v \in \dot{V}$, and so

$$a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, v) = a_w(u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v) = \langle f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, v \rangle_{\mathbf{w}},$$

so $\mathcal{L}_w D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon = D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon$, and $D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon \in (\dot{V})^*$ with $\|D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon\|_{V^*} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathbf{w}}$. In particular, taking $v = D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon$

$$\|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}^2 = a_w(D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon) = \langle D_t^{1/2} f_\varepsilon, D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \|f_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbf{w}} \|D_t^{1/2} u_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{V}}.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|D_t^{1/2} u\|_{\dot{V}} \lesssim \|\mathcal{L} u\|_{\mathbf{w}} = \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|.$$

Then also $\|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}}$, and we obtain the equivalences

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

This yields

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}}(\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w) = \dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right).$$

By **homogeneous interpolation**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{D}}\left((\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &= \left[\dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\subset \left[\dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \left[L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \dot{H}^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathfrak{L}_w^{1/2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the **Kato estimates for the weighted elliptic operators** \mathfrak{L}_w [CU-R'15], we have that $\dot{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathfrak{L}_w^{1/2}\right) = \dot{H}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}}\left((\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \subset \dot{H}^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$

Then also $\|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}}$, and we obtain the equivalences

$$\|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \lesssim \|\partial_t u + \mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}} \leq \|\partial_t u\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \|\mathfrak{L}_w u\|_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

This yields

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}}(\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w) = \dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right).$$

By **homogeneous interpolation**, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathcal{D}}\left((\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &= \left[\dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\subset \left[\dot{H}^1\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \left[L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}(\mathfrak{L}_w)\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \dot{H}^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathfrak{L}_w^{1/2}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the **Kato estimates for the weighted elliptic operators** \mathfrak{L}_w [CU-R'15], we have that $\dot{\mathcal{D}}\left(\mathfrak{L}_w^{1/2}\right) = \dot{H}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, hence

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}}\left((\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \subset \dot{H}^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\right) \cap L^2\left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$

By a similar estimate for the adjoint operator, we obtain the equality

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}} \left((\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \dot{H}^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{R}; L_w^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right) \cap L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}; \dot{H}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \right).$$

Since the equality above is *meant as interpolation spaces*, we have the equivalence of norms

$$\left\| (\partial_t + \mathfrak{L}_w)^{\frac{1}{2}} u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \left\| D_t^{1/2} u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| \nabla_x u \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

The constants in the elliptic Kato estimates $\left\| \mathfrak{L}_w^{1/2} u \right\|_w \approx \left\| \nabla_x u \right\|_w$ depend only on n, λ, Λ , and $[w]_{A_2}$ [CU-R'15], hence the equivalence above has the same dependence. □

- Alan McIntosh first proposed that solving boundary value problems involving accretive sesquilinear forms could be addressed via a first order system of Cauchy-Riemann type (**Auscher, Axelsson, and Hofmann**. J. Funct. Anal. 255 (2008)).
- The sesquilinear form formulation of the parabolic problem, together with McIntosh's first order technique was recently applied to obtain several results on well-posedness of BVP for parabolic systems, and also the Kato estimate. (2017) - [AEN] **Auscher, Egert, Nyström**. " L^2 Well-posedness of BVP for parabolic systems with measurable coefficients."
- We generalized Auscher, Egert, and Nyström's results to weighted parabolic operators.

As in [AEN], we consider parabolic equations in

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} = \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \right)_X \times \mathbb{R}_t = (\mathbb{R}^+)_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t, (X, t) = ((\lambda, x), t):$$

$$\mathcal{L}_w u = \partial_t u - \frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_X w(x) A(X, t) \nabla_X u = 0.$$

Where $A(X, t) = A((\lambda, x), t)$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ elliptic matrix with complex coefficients in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also say that u is a **reinforced weak solution** if

$$u \in V := H^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{R}; L_{\text{loc}}^2 \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, d\lambda dw \right) \right) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2 \left(\mathbb{R}; H_{\text{loc}}^1 \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, d\lambda dw \right) \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} A \nabla_{\lambda, x} u \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\lambda, x} \phi} dw dt d\lambda - \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} H_t D_t^{1/2} u \overline{D_t^{1/2} \phi} dw dt d\lambda = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+2})$.

As in [AEN], we consider parabolic equations in

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R} = \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \right)_X \times \mathbb{R}_t = (\mathbb{R}^+)_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_t, (X, t) = ((\lambda, x), t):$$

$$\mathcal{L}_w u = \partial_t u - \frac{1}{w(x)} \operatorname{div}_X w(x) A(X, t) \nabla_X u = 0.$$

Where $A(X, t) = A((\lambda, x), t)$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ elliptic matrix with complex coefficients in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and $w \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also say that u is a **reinforced weak solution** if

$$u \in V := H^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{R}; L_{\text{loc}}^2 \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, d\lambda dw \right) \right) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^2 \left(\mathbb{R}; H_{\text{loc}}^1 \left(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, d\lambda dw \right) \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} A \nabla_{\lambda, x} u \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\lambda, x} \phi} dw dt d\lambda - \int_0^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} H_t D_t^{1/2} u \overline{D_t^{1/2} \phi} dw dt d\lambda = 0$$

$$\text{for all } \phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+2}).$$

A characterization of weighted Sobolev spaces

Nicholas Miller, "Weighted Sobolev spaces and pseudodifferential operators with smooth symbols", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982).

Theorem (Miller)

Given a positive integer k and $1 < p < \infty$, let Λ^k denote the Bessel potential of order $-k$, i.e. Λ^k is a p.d.o. with symbol $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\frac{k}{2}}$, then for every $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\Lambda^k (L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, dw)) = W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n, dw),$$

where $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n, dw)$ is the weighted Sobolev space of functions with k distributional derivatives satisfying

$$\|f\|_{k,p,w} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L^p(w)} < \infty.$$

Parabolic weighted Sobolev spaces

Considering the parabolic metric

$$|\zeta|_{\cup}^4 = \zeta_1^4 + \zeta_2^4 + \cdots + \zeta_n^4 + \zeta_{n+1}^2,$$

we can define p.d.o. adapted to parabolic problems. For example, defining Λ_{\cup}^s as the p.d.o. with symbol $\left(1 + |\zeta|_{\cup}^4\right)^{-\frac{s}{4}}$, and identifying the $n + 1$ -variable with t , we have, for integer $k \geq 0$

$$H_{\cup}^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right) := \Lambda_{\cup}^{2k} \left(L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right) \right) = H^k \left(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n \right) \right) \cap L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}, H^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^n \right) \right).$$

Similarly, given an $A_{p,\cup}$ -weight (satisfies the A_p -condition on parabolic balls), we can define **weighted parabolic Sobolev spaces**. In our applications, we take $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = w(x) \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \mathbf{w} \in A_{2,\cup}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Defining

$$H_{\cup}^s \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) = \Lambda_{\cup}^s \left(L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) \right),$$

we have that

$$H_{\cup}^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) = H^k \left(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dw \right) \right) \cap L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}, H^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dw \right) \right).$$

Interpolation techniques provide inclusions (equalities, considering dual

Parabolic weighted Sobolev spaces

Considering the parabolic metric

$$|\zeta|_{\cup}^4 = \zeta_1^4 + \zeta_2^4 + \cdots + \zeta_n^4 + \zeta_{n+1}^2,$$

we can define p.d.o. adapted to parabolic problems. For example, defining Λ_{\cup}^s as the p.d.o. with symbol $\left(1 + |\zeta|_{\cup}^4\right)^{-\frac{s}{4}}$, and identifying the $n + 1$ -variable with t , we have, for integer $k \geq 0$

$$H_{\cup}^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right) := \Lambda_{\cup}^{2k} \left(L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right) \right) = H^k \left(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n \right) \right) \cap L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}, H^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^n \right) \right).$$

Similarly, given an $A_{p,\cup}$ -weight (satisfies the A_p -condition on parabolic balls), we can define **weighted parabolic Sobolev spaces**. In our applications, we take $\mathbf{w}(x, t) = w(x) \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \implies \mathbf{w} \in A_{2,\cup}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Defining

$$H_{\cup}^s \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) = \Lambda_{\cup}^s \left(L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) \right),$$

we have that

$$H_{\cup}^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, d\mathbf{w} \right) = H^k \left(\mathbb{R}, L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dw \right) \right) \cap L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}, H^{2k} \left(\mathbb{R}^n, dw \right) \right).$$

Interpolation techniques provide inclusions (equalities, considering dual

Remark A (classical) **weak solution** u of $\mathcal{L}_w u = 0$ satisfies $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}; H^1 \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, d\lambda dw \right) \right)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} A \nabla_{\lambda, x} u \cdot \overline{\nabla_{\lambda, x} \phi} w(x) dx d\lambda dt - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} u \overline{\partial_t \phi} w(x) dx d\lambda dt = 0$$

for all $\phi \in C_0^\infty \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}_+ \right)$.

Given a reinforced weak solution u , we define the $(n+2)$ -vector

$$D_A u(\lambda, x, t) := \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \\ F_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u(\lambda, x, t) \\ \nabla_x u(\lambda, x, t) \\ H_t D_t^{1/2} u(\lambda, x, t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{parabolic conormal differential}),$$

where $\partial_{\nu_A} u = (A \nabla_{\lambda, x} u(\lambda, x, t))_{\perp}$.

Then

$$D_A u \in L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \right) \right) \times L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \mathbb{C} \right) \right)$$

and, moreover,

$$\text{curl}_x F_{\parallel} = 0, \quad \nabla_x F_{\theta} = H_t D_t^{1/2} F_{\parallel}$$

in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

Split the coefficient matrix A as

$$A(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp}(\lambda, x, t) & A_{\perp\parallel}(\lambda, x, t) \\ A_{\parallel\perp}(\lambda, x, t) & A_{\parallel\parallel}(\lambda, x, t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 & 1 \times n \\ n \times 1 & n \times n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given a reinforced weak solution u , we define the $(n+2)$ -vector

$$D_A u(\lambda, x, t) := \begin{bmatrix} F_{\perp} \\ F_{\parallel} \\ F_{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\nu_A} u(\lambda, x, t) \\ \nabla_x u(\lambda, x, t) \\ H_t D_t^{1/2} u(\lambda, x, t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{parabolic conormal differential}),$$

where $\partial_{\nu_A} u = (A \nabla_{\lambda, x} u(\lambda, x, t))_{\perp}$.

Then

$$D_A u \in L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \right) \right) \times L^2 \left(\mathbb{R}; L^2_{\text{loc}} \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \mathbb{C} \right) \right)$$

and, moreover,

$$\text{curl}_x F_{\parallel} = 0, \quad \nabla_x F_{\theta} = H_t D_t^{1/2} F_{\parallel}$$

in the sense of distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

Split the coefficient matrix A as

$$A(\lambda, x, t) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp}(\lambda, x, t) & A_{\perp\parallel}(\lambda, x, t) \\ A_{\parallel\perp}(\lambda, x, t) & A_{\parallel\parallel}(\lambda, x, t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 & 1 \times n \\ n \times 1 & n \times n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ A_{\parallel\perp} & A_{\parallel\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp} & A_{\perp\parallel} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} & -A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} A_{\perp\parallel} \\ A_{\parallel\perp} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} & A_{\parallel\parallel} - A_{\parallel\perp} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} A_{\perp\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

Introduce the operators

$$P_w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w & -D_t^{1/2} \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{\perp\perp} & \hat{A}_{\perp\parallel} & 0 \\ \hat{A}_{\parallel\perp} & \hat{A}_{\parallel\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $P_w^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w & H_t D_t^{1/2} \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 \\ -D_t^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$ and

- P_w does not depend on λ .
- M is a bounded accretive operator.
- $D_t^{1/2}$ is non-local and $H_t D_t^{1/2}$ is not self-adjoint.

Let

$$\hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ A_{\parallel\perp} & A_{\parallel\parallel} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp} & A_{\perp\parallel} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} & -A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} A_{\perp\parallel} \\ A_{\parallel\perp} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} & A_{\parallel\parallel} - A_{\parallel\perp} A_{\perp\perp}^{-1} A_{\perp\parallel} \end{bmatrix}$$

Introduce the operators

$$P_w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w & -D_t^{1/2} \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{\perp\perp} & \hat{A}_{\perp\parallel} & 0 \\ \hat{A}_{\parallel\perp} & \hat{A}_{\parallel\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $P_w^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w & H_t D_t^{1/2} \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 \\ -D_t^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$ and

- P_w does not depend on λ .
- M is a bounded accretive operator.
- $D_t^{1/2}$ is non-local and $H_t D_t^{1/2}$ is not self-adjoint.

Theorem (Functional Calculus for the Parabolic Dirac Operator)

The operator MP_w is bisectorial on \mathbb{L}_w^2 with range $\mathcal{R}(P_w M) = \mathcal{R}(P_w)$. It satisfies the quadratic estimate

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| \lambda P_w M \left(1 + (\lambda P_w M)^2 \right)^{-1} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \sim \|h\|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \quad \left(h \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} \right).$$

The angle ω of bisectoriality and constants in the quadratic estimate depend on n , the ellipticity of A , and the A_2 -norm $[w]_{A_2}$ of w . In particular $P_w M$ has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$ on open double sectors S_μ for all $\mu \in (\omega, \pi/2)$. The same holds true for MP_w on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(MP_w)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$.

- Note that the square function estimates are needed only for $h \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$.

Theorem (Functional Calculus for the Parabolic Dirac Operator)

The operator MP_w is bisectorial on \mathbb{L}_w^2 with range $\mathcal{R}(P_w M) = \mathcal{R}(P_w)$. It satisfies the quadratic estimate

$$\int_0^\infty \left\| \lambda P_w M \left(1 + (\lambda P_w M)^2 \right)^{-1} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \sim \|h\|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \quad \left(h \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} \right).$$

The angle ω of bisectoriality and constants in the quadratic estimate depend on n , the ellipticity of A , and the A_2 -norm $[w]_{A_2}$ of w . In particular $P_w M$ has a bounded holomorphic functional calculus on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$ on open double sectors S_μ for all $\mu \in (\omega, \pi/2)$. The same holds true for MP_w on $\overline{\mathcal{R}(MP_w)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$.

- Note that the square function estimates are needed only for $h \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w M)} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(P_w)}$.

From the first order estimate to Kato

In order to obtain the Kato estimate from the square function estimate for $P_w M$, we take

$$A(\lambda, x, t) = A(x, t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A(x, t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = M.$$

Then

$$P_w M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w A & -D_t^{1/2} \\ -\nabla_x & 0 & 0 \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$(P_w M)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}_w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\nabla_x \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w A & \nabla_x D_t^{1/2} \\ 0 & -H_t D_t^{1/2} \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w A & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

From the first order estimate to Kato

- ① Since $P_w M$ has a bounded functional calculus on $\overline{R(P_w)}$, the operator $\text{sign}(P_w M)$ is a bounded involution in this space.
- ② Therefore, $[P_w M] := \text{sign}(P_w M) P_w M$ and $P_w M$ have the same domain and

$$\|[P_w M]\| \approx \|P_w M\|.$$

- ③ Since, moreover, $[P_w M] = \sqrt{(P_w M)^2}$, it follows that

$$[P_w M] = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{T_w} \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_w = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla_x \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \nabla_x D_t^{1/2} \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ④ Specializing to $\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{R(P_w)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} &= \|[P_w M] \mathbf{h}\| \approx \|(P_w M) \mathbf{h}\| \\ &= \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| H_t D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the first order estimate to Kato

- ① Since $P_w M$ has a bounded functional calculus on $\overline{R(P_w)}$, the operator $\text{sign}(P_w M)$ is a bounded involution in this space.
- ② Therefore, $[P_w M] := \text{sign}(P_w M) P_w M$ and $P_w M$ have the same domain and

$$\|[P_w M]\| \approx \|P_w M\|.$$

- ③ Since, moreover, $[P_w M] = \sqrt{(P_w M)^2}$, it follows that

$$[P_w M] = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{T_w} \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_w = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla_x \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w A & \nabla_x D_t^{1/2} \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} \frac{1}{w} \operatorname{div}_x w A & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ④ Specializing to $\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{R(P_w)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} &= \|[P_w M] \mathbf{h}\| \approx \|(P_w M) \mathbf{h}\| \\ &= \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| H_t D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the first order estimate to Kato

- ① Since $P_w M$ has a bounded functional calculus on $\overline{R(P_w)}$, the operator $\text{sign}(P_w M)$ is a bounded involution in this space.
- ② Therefore, $[P_w M] := \text{sign}(P_w M) P_w M$ and $P_w M$ have the same domain and

$$\|[P_w M]\| \approx \|P_w M\|.$$

- ③ Since, moreover, $[P_w M] = \sqrt{(P_w M)^2}$, it follows that

$$[P_w M] = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{T_w} \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_w = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla_x \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \nabla_x D_t^{1/2} \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ④ Specializing to $\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{R(P_w)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} &= \|[P_w M] \mathbf{h}\| \approx \|(P_w M) \mathbf{h}\| \\ &= \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| H_t D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the first order estimate to Kato

- ① Since $P_w M$ has a bounded functional calculus on $\overline{R(P_w)}$, the operator $\text{sign}(P_w M)$ is a bounded involution in this space.
- ② Therefore, $[P_w M] := \text{sign}(P_w M) P_w M$ and $P_w M$ have the same domain and

$$\|[P_w M]\| \approx \|P_w M\|.$$

- ③ Since, moreover, $[P_w M] = \sqrt{(P_w M)^2}$, it follows that

$$[P_w M] = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{T_w} \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_w = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla_x \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \nabla_x D_t^{1/2} \\ -H_t D_t^{1/2} \frac{1}{w} \text{div}_x w A & \partial_t \end{bmatrix}.$$

- ④ Specializing to $\mathbf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \overline{R(P_w)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_w} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} &= \|[P_w M] \mathbf{h}\| \approx \|(P_w M) \mathbf{h}\| \\ &= \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| H_t D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}} \approx \|\nabla_x h\|_{\mathbf{w}} + \left\| D_t^{1/2} h \right\|_{\mathbf{w}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

Observations about the proof of the square function estimate

- Our proof follows closely the original proof in [AEN] for unweighted operators, based on the algorithm for the elliptic problem from **Auscher**, **Axelsson**, and **McIntosh**, Contemp. Math. (2010).
- The classic Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}$ are replaced by the weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(w)$.
- Some square function estimates required weighted norm inequalities techniques, and interpolation with change of measure.
- Unweighted estimates based on the parabolic metric (or modified metric like in the off-diagonal decay of the resolvent) naturally extend to the weighted setting (C-Z. theory, spaces of homogeneous type).
- The final decomposition for the proof of the Carleson measure estimate in the $T(b)$ theorem required a corona decomposition of the weight, based on a construction due to **Garnett**, "Bounded analytic functions" (1981), as applied in **Auscher**, **Rosén**, and **Rule**, "Boundary value problems for degenerate elliptic equations and systems", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (2015), for elliptic operators.
- Well-posedness results for BVP in [AEN] also extend to this weighted setting.

A general framework as proposed in [AEN] is to consider the operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{w_1, w_2} u = w_1(x, t) \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} w_2(x, t) A(x, t) \nabla u.$$

- We considered the case $w_1 = w_2 = w(x) \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- If $w_1 = w_2 = w(x, t)$, a weak formulation of $\mathcal{L}_{w_1, w_2} u = 0$ is

$$\iint (A(x, t) \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v + \partial_t u v) dw(x, t),$$

this was studied by **Chiarenza** and **Serapioni** (1984), (1987). They considered $w(x, t) \in A_2(t) \cap A_{1+\frac{2}{n}}(x)$.

However, it is not clear if it is possible to implement a first order approach to this case.

A general framework as proposed in [AEN] is to consider the operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{w_1, w_2} u = w_1(x, t) \partial_t u - \operatorname{div} w_2(x, t) A(x, t) \nabla u.$$

- We considered the case $w_1 = w_2 = w(x) \in A_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- If $w_1 = w_2 = w(x, t)$, a weak formulation of $\mathcal{L}_{w_1, w_2} u = 0$ is

$$\iint (A(x, t) \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x v + \partial_t u v) dw(x, t),$$

this was studied by **Chiarenza** and **Serapioni** (1984), (1987). They considered $w(x, t) \in A_2(t) \cap A_{1+\frac{2}{n}}(x)$.

However, it is not clear if it is possible to implement a first order approach to this case.

Thank you.