Supercaloric functions for the porous medium equation

Juha Kinnunen, Aalto University, Finland
juha.k.kinnunen@aalto.fi
http://math.aalto.fi/∼jkkinnun/

June 6, 2018

References

- J. Kinnunen and P. Lindqvist, *Definition and properties of supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, J. reine angew. Math. 618 (2008), 135–168.
- J. Kinnunen and P. Lindqvist, *Erratum to the Definition and properties of supersolutions to the porous medium equation (J. reine angew. Math. 618 (2008), 135–168)*, J. reine angew. Math. 725 (2017), 249.
- J. Kinnunen and P. Lindqvist, *Unbounded supersolutions of some quasilinear parabolic equations: a dichotomy*, Nonlinear Anal. 131 (2016), 229–242, Nonlinear Anal. 131 (2016), 289–299.
- J. Kinnunen, P. Lindqvist and T. Lukkari, *Perron's method for the porous medium equation*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 18 (2016), 2953–2969.
- J. Kinnunen, P. Lehtelä, P. Lindqvist and M. Parviainen, *Supercaloric functions for the porous medium equation*, submitted (2018).

Three recent references

- Ugo Gianazza and Sebastian Schwarzacher, *Self-improving property of degenerate parabolic equations of porous medium-type*, arXiv:1603.07241.
- Verena Bögelein, Frank Duzaar, Riikka Korte and Christoph Scheven, *The higher integrability of weak solutions of porous medium systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., to appear.
- Anders Björn, Jana Björn, Ugo Gianazza and Juhana Siljander, *Boundary regularity for the porous medium equation*, arXiv:1801.08005.

Outline of the talk 1(2)

We discuss nonnegative (super)solutions of the porous medium equation (PME)

$$u_t - \Delta(u^m) = 0$$

in the slow diffusion case $m > 1$ in cylindrical domains.

Motivation: Supersolutions arise in obstacle problems, problems with measure data, Perron-Wiener-Brelot method, boundary regularity, polar sets and removable sets.

Classes of supersolutions:

- Weak supersolutions (test functions under the integral)
- Supercaloric functions (defined through a comparison principle)
- Solutions to a measure data problem
- Viscosity supersolutions (test functions evaluated at contact points)

Outline of the talk 2(2)

- **Goal**
  - To discuss a nonlinear theory of supercaloric functions for the PME
- **Questions**
  - Connections of supercaloric functions to supersolutions
  - Sobolev space properties of supercaloric functions
  - Infinity sets of supercaloric functions
- **Toolbox**
  - Energy estimates
  - Regularity results
  - Harnack inequalities
  - Obstacle problems
- **Applications**
  - Existence results by the the Perron-Wiener-Brelot (PWB) method
  - Polar sets and capacity

Space-time cylinders

- Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$.
- We denote space-time cylinders as

$$\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{t_1,t_2} = D \times (t_1, t_2),$$

  where $D \subset \Omega$ is an open set.
- The parabolic boundary of a space-time cylinder D_{t_1,t_2} is

$$\partial_p D_{t_1,t_2} = (\overline{D} \times \{t_1\}) \cup (\partial D \times [t_1, t_2]),$$

  i.e. only the initial and lateral boundaries are taken into account.

Sobolev spaces

- $H^1(\Omega)$ for the Sobolev space of $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that the weak gradient $\nabla u \in L^2(\Omega)$.
- The Sobolev space with zero boundary values $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.
- The parabolic Sobolev space $L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ consists of measurable functions $u : \Omega_T \to [-\infty, \infty]$ such that $x \mapsto u(x, t)$ belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$ for almost all $t \in (0, T)$, and

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} \left(|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2\right) \, dx \, dt < \infty.$$

  The definition of the space $L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ is similar.
- $u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(0, T; H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega))$, if u belongs to the parabolic Sobolev space for all $D_{t_1,t_2} \Subset \Omega_T$.

The porous medium equation (PME)

Assume that $m > 1$. A nonnegative function u is a weak solution of the PME

$$u_t - \Delta(u^m) = 0$$

in Ω_T, if $u^m \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, T; H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega))$ and

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} (-u\varphi_t + \nabla(u^m) \cdot \nabla\varphi)\, dx\, dt = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$. If the integral ≥ 0 for all $\varphi \geq 0$, then u is a weak supersolution.

It is possible to consider more general equations if this type, but we focus on the prototype equation. We may also consider solutions defined, for example, in $\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty)$ or $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$.

Standard reference: Juan Luis Vázquez, *The porous medium equation*, Oxford University Press 2007.

Alternative definitions 1(2)

Sometimes it is assumed that $u^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(0,T;H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega))$ and

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} (-u\varphi_t + \nabla(u^m)\cdot\nabla\varphi)\,dx\,dt = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$, where

$$\nabla(u^m) = \frac{2m}{m+1} u^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \nabla(u^{\frac{m+1}{2}}).$$

Advantage: u can be used as a test function, but this is delicate.

Remark: Under certain conditions (for example assuming that functions are locally bounded) this definition gives the same class of (super)solutions by Verena Bögelein, Pekka Lehtelä and Stefan Sturm, *Regularity of weak solutions and supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, submitted (2018).

Alternative definitions 2(2)

$u^m \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega_T)$ is called a distributional solution of the PME, if

$$\iint_{\Omega_T} (-u\varphi_t - u^m \Delta\varphi)\, dx\, dt = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$.

Advantage: Convergence results are immediate.

Remark: This definition gives the same class of functions by Pekka Lehtelä and Teemu Lukkari: *The equivalence of weak and very weak supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, Tohoku Math. J., to appear. The result is proved under the assumption that functions are continuous even though it would be more appropriate to consider locally bounded lower semicontinuous functions.

Takeaway

There are several ways to define weak (super)solutions of the PME, but they all give the same class of functions (under certain assumptions).

Structural properties

- The equation is nonlinear: The sum of two solutions is not a solution, in general.
- Solutions cannot be scaled.
- Constants cannot be added to solutions. Thus the boundary values cannot be perturbed in a standard way by adding an epsilon.
- The minimum of two supersolutions is a supersolution. In particular, the truncations

$$\min(u, k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

are supersolutions. Thus we may always consider bounded supersolutions and estimates which are independent of the level of truncation.

Continuity properties

- A weak solution is continuous after a possible redefinition on a set of measure zero (Dahlberg-Kenig 1984 and DiBenedetto-Friedman 1985).
- A weak supersolution is lower semicontinuous after a possible redefinition on a set of measure zero, see Benny Avelin and Teemu Lukkari, *Lower semicontinuity of weak supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), no. 8, 3475–3486.

Observe: No regularity in time is assumed, in particular, for weak supersolutions. For example,

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t > 0, \\ 0, & t \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

is a weak supersolution.

An intrinsic Harnack inequality for solutions

Lemma (DiBenedetto 1988)

Assume that u is a nonnegative weak solution to the PME in Ω_T. Then there are constants C_1 and C_2, depending on N and m, such that if $u(x_0, t_0) > 0$, then

$$u(x_0, t_0) \leq C_1 \inf_{x \in B(x_0, r)} u(x, t_0 + \theta),$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{C_2 \rho^2}{u(x_0, t_0)^{m-1}}$$

is such that $B(x_0, 2r) \times (t_0 - 2\theta, t_0 + 2\theta) \subset \Omega_T$.

Standard reference: Emmanuele DiBenedetto, Ugo Gianazza and Vincenzo Vespri, *Harnack's inequality for degenerate and singular parabolic equations*, Springer 2012.

A weak Harnack inequality for supersolutions

Lemma

Assume that u *is a nonnegative weak supersolution to the PME in* Ω_T *and let* $B(x_0, 8r) \times (0, T) \subset \Omega_T$*. Then there are constants* C_1 *and* C_2*, depending only on* N *and* m*, such that for almost every* $t_0 \in (0, T)$*, we have*

$$\fint_{B(x_0,r)} u(x, t_0)\, dx \le \left(\frac{C_1 r^2}{T - t_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} + C_2 \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{Q} u,$$

where $Q = B(x_0, 4r) \times (t_0 + \frac{\theta}{2}, t_0 + \theta)$ *with*

$$\theta = \min \left\{ T - t_0, C_1 r^2 \left(\fint_{B(x_0,r)} u(x, t_0)\, dx \right)^{-(m-1)} \right\}.$$

Pekka Lehtelä, *A weak harnack estimate for supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, Differential and Integral Equations 30 (2017), 879–916.

The Barenblatt solution

Example

The Barenblatt solution

$$\mathcal{B}(x,t) = \begin{cases} t^{-\lambda}\left(C - \frac{\lambda(m-1)}{2mN}\frac{|x|^2}{t^{\frac{2\lambda}{N}}}\right)_+^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, & t > 0, \\ 0, \quad t \le 0, \end{cases}$$

where $m > 1$, $\lambda = \frac{N}{N(m-1)+2}$ and the constant C is usually chosen so that

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{B}(x,t)\,dx = 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0.$$

Observe: There is a moving boundary and disturbances propagate with finite speed.

Properties

- $\mathcal{B}$ is a weak solution in the upper half space

$$\{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} : x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0\}.$$

- $\mathcal{B} \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ whenever $q < m + \frac{2}{N}$, the weak gradient exists and $\nabla(\mathcal{B}^m) \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$ whenever $q < 1 + \frac{1}{1+mN}$.
- $\mathcal{B}$ is not a weak supersolution, since

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \int_{|x|<1} |\nabla(\mathcal{B}(x,t)^m)|^2 \, dx \, dt = \infty,$$

and thus $\nabla(\mathcal{B}^m) \notin L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})$.

Increasing limits of solutions

The class of solutions is closed under increasing limits in the following sense.

Lemma (K.-Lindqvist 2008)

Assume that $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of (continuous) weak solutions in Ω_T and that $0 \le u_1 \le u_2 \le \dots$. If the limit function

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k(x,t)$$

is finite in a dense subset, then u is a (continuous) weak solution.

Proof.

The argument is based on an intrinsic Harnack inequality and Hölder continuity estimates by DiBenedetto. Ascoli's theorem and compactness arguments are applied to complete the proof. □

Increasing limits of supersolutions 1(2)

Warning: The class of supersolutions is not closed under increasing limits in general.

Example

- $$u_k(x,t) = k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
  are solutions, but the limit function is identically infinity.
- $$\min(\mathcal{B}(x,t), k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
  are weak supersolutions, but $\mathcal{B}$ is not a weak supersolution.

Increasing limits of solutions 2(2)

However, the class of supersolutions is closed under increasing limits under the following assumptions.

Lemma (K.-Lindqvist 2008)

Assume that $(u_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ *is a sequence of (lower semicontinuous) weak supersolutions in* Ω_T *and that* $0 \le u_1 \le u_2 \le \dots$*. If the limit function*

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k(x,t)$$

is locally bounded, or $u^m \in L^2_{loc}(0,T;H^1_{loc}(\Omega))$*, then* u *is a (lower semicontinuous) weak supersolution.*

Supercaloric functions for the PME

We consider a class of m-supercaloric functions defined via a comparison principle. This class will be closed under increasing limit if the limit is finite in a dense subset.

Definition (K.-Lindqvist 2008)

A function $v : \Omega_T \to [0, \infty]$ is m-supercaloric, if

1. v is lower semicontinuous,
2. v is finite in a dense subset of Ω_T and
3. v satisfies the following comparison principle in every interior cylinder $D_{t_1,t_2} \Subset \Omega_T$: If $u \in C(\overline{D_{t_1,t_2}})$ is a weak solution of the PME in D_{t_1,t_2} and $v \geq u$ on $\partial_p D_{t_1,t_2}$, then $v \geq u$ in D_{t_1,t_2}.

m-subcaloric functions are defined analogously.

When $m = 1$ we have supercaloric functions (supertemperatures) for the heat equation.

Remarks 1(2)

- By the Schwarz alternating method is enough to compare in boxes instead of all cylindrical subdomains, see Pekka Lehtelä and Teemu Lukkari: *The equivalence of weak and very weak supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, Tohoku Math. J., to appear.
- The minimum of two m-supercaloric functions is m-supercaloric.
- A nonnegative m-supercaloric function v in $\Omega \times \{t > t_0\}$ can be extended as 0 in the past. In other words

$$\begin{cases} v(x,t), & t > t_0, \\ 0, & t \le t_0, \end{cases}$$

  is an m-supercaloric function in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
- An m-supercaloric function does not, a priori, belong to a Sobolev space. The only connection to the equation is through the comparison principle.

Remarks 2(2)

- A lower semicontinuous representative of a weak supersolution is m-supercaloric.
  Idea of the proof: Weak supersolutions satisfy the comparison principle.
- A locally bounded m-supercaloric function is a weak supersolution. In particular, the truncations $\min(v, k)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, are supersolutions (K.-Lindqvist 2008).
  Idea of the proof: Approximate a given m-supercaloric function pointwise by an increasing sequence of weak supersolutions, constructed through successive obstacle problems. By the boundedness assumption, the limit function is a weak supersolution.

Takeaways

- There are no other **bounded** m-supercaloric functions than weak supersolutions, once the question of lower semicontinuity is taken into account. Thus if we are only interested in bounded functions these classes coincide.
- As we shall see, there are several ways to construct **unbounded** m-supercaloric functions, that are not weak supersolutions. Thus, in general, these are different classes of functions.

Unbounded m-supercaloric functions 1(2)

Example

Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded open set, $m > 1$ and let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. The friendly giant, obtained by separation of variables, is

$$v(x,t) = \frac{u(x)}{(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}}, \quad t > t_0,$$

where $u^m \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the unique positive weak solution to the nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta(u^m) + \frac{1}{m-1} u = 0$$

in Ω. v is a solution in $\Omega \times (t_0, \infty)$ and the zero extension to $\Omega \times (-\infty, t_0]$ is m-supercaloric in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remarks

- The infinity set of the friendly giant is the whole time slice $\Omega \times \{t_0\}$.
- This cannot occur for the classical heat equation when $m = 1$.
- Since the friendly giant v is a solution in $\Omega \times (t_0, \infty)$ it plays an important role as a minorant for m-supercaloric functions which blow up at time t_0.

Example

Let

$$v(x,t) = u(x)e^{\frac{1}{(m-1)t}}, \quad t > 0,$$

where u is a solution to the same elliptic problem as in the previous example. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &v_t(x,t) - \Delta(v(x,t)^m) \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{(m-1)t}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{t}} - \frac{1}{t^2} \right) \frac{u(x)}{m-1} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus v is a supersolution in $\Omega \times (t_0, \infty)$ and the zero extension to $\Omega \times (-\infty, t_0]$ is m-supercaloric in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

Observe: An m-supercaloric function may blow up exponentially near the infinity set.

Question: What are the Sobolev space properties of unbounded m-supercaloric functions?

Class $\mathfrak{B}$ (Bueno)

First we consider m-supercaloric functions that have a similar behaviour as the Barenblatt solution.

Definition

We say that a nonnegative m-supercaloric function v belongs to class $\mathfrak{B}$, if $v \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T)$ for some $q > m - 1$.

Example

The Barenblatt solution belongs to class $\mathfrak{B}$.

A characterization of class $\mathfrak{B}$

The following result is based on K.-Lindqvist 2008, 2016.

Theorem (K.-Lehtelä-Lindqvist-Parviainen 2018)

Assume that v is a nonnegative m-supercaloric function in Ω_T. Then the following claims are equivalent:

1. $v \in \mathfrak{B}$,
2. $v \in L^{m-1}_{loc}(\Omega_T)$,
3. $\nabla(v^m)$ *exists and* $\nabla(v^m) \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ *whenever* $q < 1 + \frac{1}{1+mN}$,
4. $$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(\delta,T-\delta)} \int_D v(x,t)\,dx < \infty$$
   whenever $D \times (\delta, T-\delta) \Subset \Omega_T$.

Moral: This shows that functions in class $\mathfrak{B}$ have similar Sobolev space properties as the Barenblatt solution.

Remark

If $v \in \mathfrak{B}$, then

$$v \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega_T) \quad \text{for every} \quad q < m + \frac{2}{N}.$$

This is a consequence of a reverse Hölder inequality for supersolutions of the PME, see K. Lindqvist 2008 and Pekka Lehtelä, *A weak harnack estimate for supersolutions to the porous medium equation*, Differential and Integral Equations 30 (2017), 879–916.

The upper bound for the exponent is sharp as the Barenblatt solution shows.

A measure data problem

Under the assumptions of the previous theorem, there exists a Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, such that v is a weak solution to the measure data problem

$$v_t - \Delta(v^m) = \mu.$$

Reason: By the discussion above,

$$v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla(v^m) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T).$$

Thus we may apply the Riesz representation theorem to the nonnegative linear operator

$$L_v(\varphi) = \iint_{\Omega_T} (-v\varphi_t + \nabla(v^m) \cdot \nabla\varphi)\, dx\, dt,$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

Class $\mathfrak{M}$ (Malo)

Next we consider the complementary class of $\mathfrak{B}$. We denote this class by $\mathfrak{M}$, which refers to the somewhat monstrous behaviour of these functions.

Definition

We say that a nonnegative m-supercaloric function v belongs to class $\mathfrak{M}$, if $v \notin L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega_T)$ for every $q > m - 1$.

Example

The friendly giant, and other similar functions, belongs to class $\mathfrak{M}$.

A characterization of class $\mathfrak{M}$

Theorem (K.-Lehtelä-Lindqvist-Parviainen 2018)

Assume that v is a nonnegative m-supercaloric function in Ω_T. Then the following claims are equivalent:

1. $v \in \mathfrak{M}$,
2. $v \notin L^{m-1}_{loc}(\Omega_T)$,
3. *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(\delta,T-\delta)} \int_D v(x,t)\,dx = \infty,$$

   whenever $D \Subset \Omega$ *with* $|D| > 0$.

4. *there exists* $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega_T$, *such that*

$$\liminf_{\substack{(x,t)\to(x_0,t_0)\\ t>t_0}} v(x,t)(t-t_0)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} > 0.$$

Moral: The result shows that functions in class $\mathfrak{M}$ blow up at least with the rate given by the friendly giant.

Dichotomy: Either

$$v \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T) \quad \text{for every} \quad q < m + \frac{2}{N}$$

or

$$v \notin L^{m-1}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega_T).$$

Thus the local integrability of a solution is either up to $m + \frac{2}{N}$ or worse than $m - 1$. There is a gap between these exponents.

The infinity set

We consider the infinity set

$$I(t_0) = \left\{(x_0, t_0) : \lim_{t \to t_0+} u(x_0, t) = \infty\right\}$$

at time $t_0 \in (0, T)$. More general approach directions can be considered as well.

Example

For the Barenblatt solution $I(0) = \{0\}$ and for the friendly giant $I(0) = \Omega$.

Observe: The pointwise values of the Baranblatt solution and the friendly giant are zero in their infinity sets, but both functions are unbounded in any neighbourhood of their infinity set.

Past and future

It is essential that the limit in the definition of $I(t_0)$ is determined only by the future times $t > t_0$, while the past and present times $t \leq t_0$ are totally excluded.

This is in striking contrast to the pointwise value of the function, which can always be determined only by the past. An extension of Brelot's classical theorem for m-supercaloric functions (K.-Lindqvist 2008) states that

$$v(x_0, t_0) = \operatorname*{ess\,lim\,inf}_{\substack{(x,t)\to(x_0,t_0)\\ t<t_0}} v(x, t)$$

Here the notion of the essential limes inferior means that any set of $(N+1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero can be neglected in the calculation of the lower limit. This implies that if two m-supercaloric funcitions coincide almost everywhere, they coincide everywhere.

The infinity set and $\mathfrak{M}$

Theorem (K.-Lehtelä-Lindqvist-Parviainen, in preparation)

Assume that v is a nonnegative m-supercaloric function in Ω_T. Then the following claims are equivalent:

- $v \in \mathfrak{M}$,
- *there exists $t_0 \in (0, T)$ such that*

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,t)\to(x_0,t_0)\\ t>t_0}} v(x,t) = \infty \quad \text{for every} \quad x_0 \in \Omega.$$

Theorem (K.-Lehtelä-Lindqvist-Parviainen 2018)

Assume that v is a nonnegative m-supercaloric function in Ω_T. Then for every $t \in (0, T)$ there are two alternatives: either

$$|I(t)| = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I(t) = \Omega.$$

Proof.

A chaining argument and weak Harnack's inequality. □

Moral: Even though we consider the slow diffusion case, infinities propagate with infinite speed.

Characterizations of $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$

- $v \in \mathfrak{M}$ if and only if $I(t) = \Omega$ for some $t \in (0, T)$.
- $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $|I(t)| = 0$ for every $t \in (0, T)$.
- If v is a nonnegative m-supercaloric function defined on whole $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, then $v \in \mathfrak{B}$. Thus class $\mathfrak{M}$ does not occur in the whole space.

Takeaways

- A nonnegative m-supercaloric function has a Barenblatt type behaviour (class $\mathfrak{B}$) or it blows up at least with the rate given by the friendly giant (class $\mathfrak{M}$).
- Functions in class $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfy a natural Sobolev space properties. There is a measure data problem and the Riesz measure associated with class $\mathfrak{B}$.
- Functions in class $\mathfrak{M}$ are lacking several properties, such as local integrability. Thus these functions are not easily tractable.
- Class $\mathfrak{M}$ does not occur in the whole space.
- The infinity set on a time slice is either a set of measure zero or the whole time slice.
- $v \in \mathfrak{M}$ if and only if $I(t) = \Omega$ for some $t \in (0, T)$.
- $v \in \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $|I(t)| = 0$ for every $t \in (0, T)$.

Open problems 1(3)

- What is the corresponding theory of m-supercaloric functions and in the fast diffusion case $0 < m < 1$?
  - The question is also open for the p-parabolic equation when $1 < p < 2$.
- Is it possible to develop capacity theory for the PME?
  - For the p-parabolic equation with $p \geq 2$: K., Riikka Korte, Tuomo Kuusi, Mikko Parviainen, *Nonlinear parabolic capacity and polar sets of superparabolic functions*, Math. Ann. 355 (2013), no. 4, 1349–1381.
  - Partial results for the PME: Benny Avelin and Teemu Lukkari, *A comparison principle for the porous medium equation and its consequences*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 33 (2017), no. 2, 573–594.
- Are polar sets for m-supercaloric functions sets of capacity zero?
- Are sets of capacity zero removable for bounded m-supercaloric functions?
  - For the p-parabolic equation with $p \geq 2$: Benny Avelin and Olli Saari, *Characterizations of interior polar sets for the degenerate p-parabolic equation*, arXiv 2015.

Open problems 2(3)

- Do the classes of viscosity supersolutions and *m*-supercaloric functions coincide?
  - For the *p*-parabolic equation: Petri Juutinen, Peter Lindqvist and Juan Manfredi, *On the equivalence of viscosity solutions and weak solutions for a quasi-linear equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2001), no. 3, 699–717.
  - Vesa Julin and Petri Juutinen, *A new proof for the equivalence of weak and viscosity solutions for the p-Laplace equation*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 37 (2012), no. 5, 934–946.
  - Luis Caffarelli and Juan Luis Vázquez, *Viscosity solutions for the porous medium equation*, Differential equations: La Pietra 1996 (Florence), 13–26, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 65, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
  - Cristina Brändle and Juan Luis Vázquez, *Viscosity solutions for quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations of porous medium type*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005), no. 3, 817–860.

Open problems 3(3)

- While uniqueness with sufficiently regular data and fixed boundary and initial values is also standard, uniqueness questions related to nonlinear equations with general measure data are rather delicate. For instance, the question whether the Barenblatt solution is the only solution of the PME with Dirac's delta seems to be open.
- What is the Wiener criterion for boundary regularity for the PME?